Adapting Old Buildings for New Uses
Asbestos in the Workplace: Managing Small-Scale Abatement

Developed by the University of Georgia Physical Plant Division, 1991/140 Pages
$45 APPA member institutions, $55 all others; Add $8 shipping/handling. Prepayment required.
ISBN 0-913359-63-7

Asbestos in the Workplace: Managing Small-Scale Abatement, developed by the University of Georgia Physical Plant Division and published by APPA; provides a comprehensive set of asbestos abatement guidelines covering specific procedures, training requirements, worker protection, identification of asbestos-containing material, project planning, waste disposal, and responses to release episodes.

Also included in the manual are 26 appendices that discuss in detail such topics and procedures as awareness training, worker orientation, medical surveillance policies and forms, respirator maintenance, asbestos worker documentation, work control procedures, pre-construction survey forms, emergency guidelines, and more. A glossary of terms is also included.

Who should order this book?
- Campus/school facilities managers and business officers
- Asbestos abatement workers and supervisors
- Federal and state hazardous materials controls executives
- Campus and city planners
- Health and safety officers
- Medical facilities engineers
- Hazardous waste service firms
- Consulting/engineering firms
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Don’t Miss the Boat!

Register Early for the Largest IAQ Event Ever

Join thousands of building owners and facilities managers for the First Annual IAQ Conference and Exposition
April 30 — May 2, 1992
Tampa Convention Center
Tampa, Florida

Sponsored by the National Coalition on Indoor Air Quality

“IAQ—A Practical Approach”

All presentations will focus on the hands-on, practical approach to solving and preventing IAQ problems.

IAQ Investigations and Mitigation
Filtration Products and Services
Marketing Healthy Buildings
HVAC/Duct Cleaning
System Commissioning
IAQ Case Histories
IAQ Regulations
HVAC Maintenance and Service
Ventilation Effectiveness
Equipment and Instrumentation
IAQ Diagnostics
Legal Considerations

Yes, send me information on “Early Bird” Registration discounts and additional information on the Conference.

Name ____________________________
Company __________________________
Address __________________________
City __________________ State _______ Zip ______

Return to NCIAQ, 1518 K Street, NW, Washington, DC 20005 no later than January 20, 1991
APPAs Theological Study Attracts Widespread Media Interest

After months of extensive research and information gathering on the condition of facilities at theological institutions, results of Today's Challenge to Tomorrow's Vision were announced at a news conference on December 3 in Washington, D.C. The briefing was led by APPA Executive Vice President Walter Schaw and featured Craig Dykstra, vice president for religion from the Lilly Endowment, Robert E. Cooley, president of the Association of Theological Schools, and Norman E. Dewire, president of the Methodist Theological School in Ohio. The briefing outlined survey statistics and fully integrated the components of APPA's model for stewardship for schools of theology facilities with the needs of other higher education facilities.

Media interest in the briefing was impressive, with a dozen broadcast and print reporters in attendance. Many others requested the report and information kits and indicated their intention to develop stories about the study.

Coverage of the APPA/Lilly Endowment study began prior to the briefing, with the publication of a favorable New York Times article on November 30. Since then, more than twenty-five interviews conducted with briefing speakers and representatives of participating theological institutions have resulted in radio segments on the Missouri News Network, the Virginia Network, several Cincinnati and Chattanooga stations, KMBZ-AM (Kansas City), and the nationally syndicated CBS radio program "World of Religion."

Considerable print coverage of the study also is scheduled. Articles will appear in the Chronicle of Philanthropy, Cincinnati Enquirer, Columbus Dispatch, Contra Costa Times (San Francisco Bay area), Nation's Building News, San Francisco Examiner, and Washington Times.

More than 100 people attended the APPA/Lilly press conference on December 3 in Washington, D.C.

APPA Challenges Vision of Facilities Conditions

APPA released Today's Challenge to Tomorrow's Vision: A Study of Facilities Conditions at Schools of Theology at its December 3 press conference in Washington, D.C. This 96-page book assesses the condition of campus facilities at 202 schools of theology in the United States and Canada. It is the culmination of a joint project conducted by APPA and sponsored by the Lilly Endowment, Inc.

The first three chapters include the research results from the first phase of this project: assessments of facilities operations at forty-one schools, workshops attended by presidents, business officers, and facilities managers, and an on-site evaluation of conditions and operations by APPA member experts who issued formal reports.

Chapters 4-6 cover financial perspectives for renewal and replacement of facilities, the challenges posed by deferred maintenance and its connections to stewardship, and how trustees can offer leadership and expertise in support of the institution's mission.

Chapters 7-11 are case studies gathered from some of the participating institutions.

Today's Challenge to Tomorrow's Vision costs $25 for APPA members, $35 for all others; add $8 for shipping and handling. Send checks to APPA Publications, Dept. TCNL, P.O. Box 1201, Alexandria, VA 22313-1201. Prepayment is required.
Midyear Report From The President-Elect

by Don Mackel
University of New Mexico

With the changes approved to the APPA bylaws last April, the office of President-Elect has become President-Elect and Senior Vice President for Programs. This reflects but one of the important changes designed by the APPA Board of Directors to spread the work load of those elected to the top offices of APPA over the three years in which they move through the presidential chairs. This change also establishes responsibility for oversight of the elected vice presidents and their programs with the President-Elect. Additionally, the President-Elect chairs the Planning Committee and serves as a member of the Finance Committee.

A portion of the work load being shared in this restructuring is the visiting of the regions. Sharon and I have thoroughly enjoyed attending four regional meetings this year (RMAPPA, PCAPPA, MAPPA, and SRAPPA). We have renewed many friendships and made many new acquaintances that I'm confident will endure. We have been treated in a very special way by each of our hosts, and this has served to intensify our desire to visit and strike up new friendships in those regions we were unable to visit this year. We look forward with great pleasure to the regional meetings next year.

In a recent article printed in Association Trends, networking and education are cited as the two main reasons people join associations. They are also perceived as the principal benefits of association membership. Reflecting the dismal state of fiscal health across most of higher education, attendance at each of the regional meetings was lower than in previous years. Be that as it may, I would observe from the excellent quality of educational programs, intense interest and participation in the peer-group sessions, and genuine interest in the vendor exhibit sessions, that this group of professionals is as committed as ever to carrying on the work of managing their campus' facilities. I would also observe that fellowship among these professionals ranks a close second.

In addition to reporting to the membership the status of the various APPA programs, I had the following four goals while visiting the regions:

1. Yes, to renew old acquaintances and make new friends, but more important, to meet the membership.

2. To learn from the members their sense of priority with regard to the issues expressed in the long-range planning document entitled "Toward the Twenty-first Century."

This comprehensive plan enumerates issues of major concern expressed by the membership relative to our profession as facilities managers and to our professional association. I have requested each of the vice presidents as well as the regional senior and junior representatives to be particularly sensitive to membership feedback. There's a lot on our plates and, as with the limited resources we experience on our campuses, we cannot take care of all of it at once. We are, however, making excellent progress, and each of you is encouraged to review the plan and communicate your needs to your regional representatives.

We will begin to crystallize the 1992-93 Operating Plan at the midyear board meeting in February, so you can see that time is running short.

3. To get an expression of interest from members to serve the association. In APPA, as in any volunteer organization, the organization can suffer from inbreeding, work overload, overcommitment, and burnout. New ideas, fresh ways of thinking about association problems and membership services are healthy. Another of the duties assigned to the President-Elect is that of making committee appointments for the upcoming year (1992-93). How would you like to serve your association?

4. To visit with some of the vendors at the exhibit sessions to learn from our Subscribing Members how we might explore and improve the opportunities for access and successful exchange of information, goods, and services as we become more sensitive to the customer service aspects of our roles.

Your responses to the survey on the issue of professional certification have been tabulated, and it remains for us to carry out the wishes of the association. There will be one more area in which we are asking for help. The Comparative Costs and Staffing Report will be coming out in its new format. Please take the time to complete it and help us to make this a useful and usable document.

Let me thank you for the confidence you have expressed in me by having me serve as your President-Elect, and following that, your President. I am moved by this opportunity and excited about the challenges that lay ahead.

---
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1991 APPA Regional Meeting Highlights

Eastern
The setting of the 41st ERAPPA Annual Meeting, held October 6-9, was historic Annapolis, Maryland. The meeting was hosted by the Maryland/Washington, D.C. Chapter; chair Al Stearns and his committee did an outstanding job of organizing a memorable learning and social experience. A total of 219 attendees enjoyed the conference.

The highlights for delegates of the conference this year included a tour of the Smithsonian National Air and Space Museum with a buffet dinner, and a harbor cruise on the Chesapeake Bay complete with delicious Maryland crabs. The spouse/guest program included a walking tour of Annapolis and a visit to the Londontown Publick House and Garden.

"Achieving Facilities Equilibrium" was the theme carried out through sessions on a variety of technical and administrative topics, including energy conservation through retrofits, reducing fuel costs through alternative sources, cultural diversity in the workplace, and strategies for people who do too much.

Spearheaded by ERAPPA President Norm Bedell and the Board, a bylaws update and revision was proposed and approved by the delegates at the annual business meeting. One of the major changes was allowing for three elected officers each year; another strengthens the coordination between the region and the chapters by having the Second Vice President act as chapter liaison. Establishing a computerized training network where member institutions could share training resources and references through a phone call was proposed.

Bedell turned the gavel over to the new president Frederick Klee at the closing banquet reception. An award for Meritorious Service was given to Richard M. Engle of Rutgers University for videotape program support.

The membership is looking forward to ERAPPA 1992 in Portland, Maine, to be hosted by Northern New England.

—Carol Treder
ERAPPA Newsletter Editor
Facilities Personnel and Training Coordinator
Rutgers University (NJ)

Southeastern
The 40th Annual Meeting of the SRAPPA was held November 2-6 at the Hyatt Regency Hotel in Hilton Head, South Carolina and was hosted by Clemson University. The theme of this year's meeting was "Legal Alternatives: How They Affect Facilities Management." There were some excellent educational sessions involving the legal issues for physical plant administrators.

The meeting opened with a golf tournament on Sunday at one of the outstanding Hilton Head golf courses and included a low country sunset cruise along the South Carolina coast aboard an old paddle wheel steamer. The meeting concluded with the installation of new officers and the annual awards banquet on Tuesday evening.

Even though many states in the region were faced with severe budget cuts, the meeting still attracted more than 120 members and approximately fifty spouses. More than fifty vendor exhibits were also set up. A "well-done" goes to Michael Faires, Myra Cato, Patricia Finley, and the rest of the Clemson staff for their hard work in putting on an outstanding and successful program.

—L.E. McMenamin
SRAPPA Newsletter Editor
Director of Physical Plant
George Mason University (VA)

Midwest
If you missed the meeting in St. Paul, Minnesota, you missed the boat (twice). Approximately 135 members and spouses attended the 1991 Midwest APPA meeting in St. Paul. Many of the attendees participated in the Sunday afternoon cruise up the Mississippi River, which was an excellent opportunity to renew old friendships and make new acquaintances, such as APPA President-Elect Don Mackel of the University of New Mexico and his wife Sharon. Walt Schaw, executive vice president of APPA, also joined us on Monday.

Keynote speaker Robert Erickson, vice president of the University of Minnesota, was particularly enlightening as to the major changes underway at that institution.

Many favorable comments have been received regarding the programs, especially the one presented by Jack Zenger of Zenger-Miller.

The St. Paul Radisson worked out extremely well with the room arrangement and sizes for the various meals and meetings; there were many favorable comments regarding the quality of service and food. The Host Committee — Kirk Campbell, University of Minnesota; Dale Haack, Gustavus Adolphus College; Craig Hjelle, Bethel College; Peter Sandberg, St. Olaf College; and Jim Weninger, Augsburg College — should be justifiably proud of this fine event.

Participants of the spouse tour have rated Barb Haack's itinerary as one of the best, if not the best, outing at any MAPPA or APPA conference.

—Ron Flinn
MAPPA President
Assistant Vice President, Physical Plant
Michigan State University

LFG

OFFERING ENGINEERING/DESIGN SERVICES for educational facilities in the areas of Precision Climate Control, Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Lighting, Preventive Maintenance, and Engineered Ventilation.

For further information you may contact us at:

Landmark Facilities Group
252 East Avenue
East Norwalk, CT 06855
(203) 866-4626
Central
CAPPA held its annual meeting September 21-25, in St. Louis, Missouri at the Sheraton West Port Inn. The meeting was attended by 122 registrants, fifty-nine spouse/guests, five emeritus members, and forty-three vendors. The meeting opened Saturday with two technical seminars. One was on grounds maintenance presented by Outdoor Equipment Company of St. Louis. It was attended by eighteen members. The seminar on mechanical controls was presented by McGrath and Lilly Company of St. Louis and was attended by twenty-two members.

The actual meeting got underway Sunday with registration and vendor exhibitions and hospitality get-togethers. The evening featured a HoBo dinner. The dinner was scheduled for the St. Louis National Museum of Transportation, but had to be moved to the Maryville campus due to extensive rain in the area.

Monday opened with breakfast and a keynote address by Dr. Larry Hayes. The full day featured technical sessions, seminars, and educational programs. All attendees were treated to a very rewarding experience. Monday evening the group attended a picnic at the St. Louis Cardinal ballpark with the Montreal Expos.

Tuesday continued the educational programs and provided the attendees with a full range of technical programs. Tuesday night included the annual awards banquet. At the banquet, Tom Jones was presented with the Distinguished Member Award for 1991. Certificates of Meritorious Service were presented to Donna Apel for years of service to CAPPA and to Glenn and Jo Grippe for their work in hosting the 1990 annual meeting.

CAPPA also honored Tom Young with the Hillyard Company, Lee Newman with Ceramic Cooling Tower Company, Dennis Ahrenhoerster-bauer with the Western Group, and Jim Payne with Dexter Mogul Company with Certificates of Meritorious Service. CAPPA wishes to recognize the above for the service provided to the organization and for long-standing contributions to the profession.

The meeting concluded with a general business session at which time the 1992 meeting was set for the University of North Dakota in Grand Forks, hosted by LeRoy Sondrel. The 1993 meeting was scheduled for Dallas, Texas and will be hosted by Kirby Vahle and the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center.

—John D. Rulfs
CAPPA Newsletter Editor
Associate Director of Physical Plant
Stephen F. Austin State University (TX)

Rocky Mountain
This year's RMAPPa annual meeting held September 11-14, carried the program theme of "Management Rendezvous." The meeting was hosted by the University of Wyoming. The Jackson Lodge, which is situated within Grand Teton National Park and thirty-six miles north of Jackson, Wyoming, was rendezvous headquarters. The lodge offered spectacular views across Jackson Lake to the magnificent Teton range that is dominated by the Grand Teton (13,770-foot elevation) and Mount Moran (12,601-foot elevation). Dr. George Krell and his staff from the University of Wyoming promised a great time, and attendees were not disappointed.

Although rain soaked the area prior to the start of the meeting, the elements cooperated as if under the direct control of Krell and crew to provide near perfect fall weather. Rainfall at the lodge on Friday night provided additional spectacular views of snow-covered peaks on Saturday morning as the meeting concluded. The setting of beautiful scenery and the delightful weather combined to make a memorable experience.

Education programs were timely and provided in-depth information in interpersonal communications, quality management principles (TQM), legally acceptable hiring practices, and regulatory compliance.

Not only did the meeting include an excellent educational program, but the pre-meeting activities, post-meeting tours, and social activities were equally enjoyable. The scenic lake cruise and Elk Island steak fry offered an unforgettable experience.

Remarks at the annual banquet by former U.S. Secretary of the Interior, James Watt, called for a return to the value system that made America great. His comments were timely and insightful.

APPA was represented at the meeting by President Joe Estill, President-Elect Don Mackel, former President Jack Flug, and Executive Vice President Walt Schaw. Bill Humble, representing the Australasian Section, was also in attendance.

It is always enjoyable to renew acquaintances at the annual meeting and to participate in informal discussions outside the scheduled activities. Many times problems are solved or good ideas obtained through this network of facilities management professionals. One good idea obtained at the meeting can more than offset the cost of attendance.

—H. Val Peterson
Immediate Past RMAPPa Newsletter Editor
Director of Physical Plant
Arizona State University

Pacific Coast
Coeur d'Alene, Idaho was the site of the 40th annual meeting of the Pacific Coast Region of APPA, held September 22-25. More than 100 registrants joined sixteen exhibits at the world famous resort located on the alpine lake of the same name for several days of relaxation and education.

The conference theme, "Reflections on Excellence in Facilities Management," served as a springboard for each of the presentations and panel discussions.

In addition to a full educational agenda, the conference offered several unique recreational opportunities, including a golf tournament at the resort's lakeside golf course that features the world's only floating green (complete with water taxi), a ride on the world's longest gondola to a mountain-top barbecue, and a sunset dinner cruise on the lake.

The highlight of the annual installation and awards banquet was an enthusiastic roast of Hod Wells, APPA secretary and former PCAPPA secretary-treasurer, who has recently "jumped ship" and joined the Eastern Region.

All credit for a very successful conference go to Joe Spoonemore and the Washington State University staff, as well as other host committee members who agreed to cross state lines in order to take advantage of an incomparable meeting location.

—Chris Christofferson
PCAPPA Newsletter Editor
Director of Physical Plant
California State Polytechnic University/Pomona
1991-92 Regional Officers

**EASTERN**
President — Frederick Klee, Ursinus College (PA); President-Elect — Carl Day, Technical University of Nova Scotia; Secretary — Richard McFalls, American College (PA); Treasurer — H. Allen Stearns, Prince George's Community College (MD); First Vice President — Philip Cox, Cornell University (NY); Second Vice President — Joseph D. Raberton, Quinnipiac College (CT); Senior Representative — Donald Hedrick, Allegany Community College (MD); Junior Representative — Norman Bedell, Pennsylvania State University; Director — Margaret Kinnaman, University of Maryland/Baltimore; Newsletter Editor — Carol Tredex, Rutgers University (NJ).

**SOUTHEASTERN**
President — Michael Faires, Clemson University (SC); First Vice President — Allen Perry, Tulane University (LA); Second Vice President — Robert Collins, Davidson College (NC); Vice President for Long Range Planning — William Columbus, University of Alabama; Secretary/Treasurer — James O. Roberts, Georgetown College (KY); Senior Representative — E. Dudley Howe, Stetson University (FL); Junior Representative — W. Clay Adamson, Medical College of Georgia; Newsletter Editor — L.E. McMenamin, George Mason University (VA).

1992 Regional Meetings

**EASTERN**
October 18-21
Portland, Maine
David Early, University of Southern Maine

**SOUTHEASTERN**
September 26-30
New Orleans, Louisiana
Allen Perry, Tulane University

**MIDWEST**
October 11-14 (tentative)
Iowa City, Iowa
Bob Brooks, University of Iowa

**CENTRAL**
September 19-21
Grand Forks, North Dakota
LeRoy Sondrol, University of North Dakota

**ROCKY MOUNTAIN**
September 26-30
Provo, Utah
Doug Christensen, Brigham Young University

**PACIFIC COAST**
September 26-30
City of Industry, California
Chris Christofferson, California State Polytechnic University/Pomona

Save time & money!
Call now to receive the most comprehensive repair and replacement parts catalog in the multi-housing industries... free.

1·800·431·3000

- Same day shipping
- 12 hour order desk
- Toll free ordering
- 2900 parts in stock
MIDWEST

President — Ronald Flinn, Michigan State University; Past President — Roger E. Rowe, Miami University (OH); President-Elect — Peter Sandberg, St. Olaf College (MN); Secretary — Larry Quick, Art Institute of Chicago (IL); Treasurer — Larry Quick, Art Institute of Chicago (IL); Senior Representative — John Harrod, University of Wisconsin/Madison; Junior Representative — Gary L. Reynolds, Iowa State University; Newsletter Editor — Gary Kent, Indiana University/Bloomington.

ROCKY MOUNTAIN

President — George Krell, University of Wyoming; First Vice President — Douglas Christensen, Brigham Young University (UT); Second Vice President — Gordon A. Bulat, University of Alberta; Third Vice President — Paul Tabolt, University of Colorado/Boulder; Secretary/Treasurer — Dean Wolf, Aurora Higher Education Center (CO); Senior Representative — Philip G. Rector, Colorado College; Junior Representative — Pieter van der Have, University of Utah; Newsletter Editor — William Rose, Montana State University.

PACIFIC COAST

President — Judd Whetten, Brigham Young University/Hawaii; President-Elect — Joe Spoonemore, Washington State University; Vice President, Annual Meeting — Chris Christoffersen, California State Polytechnic University/Pomona; Vice President-Elect, Annual Meeting — Peter Harris, Western Washington University; Secretary-Treasurer — Ken Hall, University of Idaho; Senior Representative — Dale Klein, Claremont Colleges (CA); Newsletter Editor — Chris Christoffersen, California State Polytechnic University/Pomona.

Unparalleled football stadium renovations.

The trademark of Southern Bleacher.

Whether your renovation involves replacing existing wooden seats with aluminum or increasing capacity with the addition of upper decks or end zone seating, Southern Bleacher can meet your needs. Offering the highest quality and best value in design, engineering, manufacturing and installation, Southern Bleacher has stood for unparalleled achievements in permanent grandstands, stadium seating and portable bleachers since 1946.

Southern BLEACHER COMPANY

P.O. Box One, Graham, Texas 76046
Toll Free: 1-800/433-0912 In Texas: 817/549-6733 FAX: 817/549-1365
As you may know, the President vetoed the appropriations for the departments of Labor, Health and Human Services, and Education due to provisions regarding abortions. This may keep the Congress in session, but not long enough to finish the Reauthorization of the Higher Education Act.

Although Title VII contains the major facilities component, Title IV contains the charter of the Student Loan Marketing Association (Sallie Mae). The 1986 reauthorization authorized Sallie Mae to do facilities lending on a limited basis, with the requirement that 75 percent of facilities financing be directed to institutions rated less than "A." (If you fall in this category, I encourage you to seek loans from Sallie Mae.) In the last five years, Sallie Mae has loaned or supported approximately $1.8 billion in facilities financing to more than 130 institutions.

The House reauthorization bill (H.R. 3533) contains a provision to encourage Sallie Mae to do more facilities lending by easing the targeting requirement and allowing Sallie Mae to include A-rated institutions in the 75 percent category. Senate bill S. 1150 does not include a comparable provision, and in fact restricts Sallie Mae's facilities authority to "academic, research, and library facilities and equipment." This narrow wording precludes Sallie Mae from financing dormitories, administration buildings, dining halls, student unions, bookstores, etc. Moreover, Sallie Mae would not be able to provide a financing package to meet a broad set of needs if part of the financing supports a prohibited category.

Such wording would target the 11 percent of critical need attributable to libraries, but would severely limit the institution's ability to determine its own critical facilities needs. To make matters worse, Senate Title VII Part A state block grant program language includes similar wording, supporting libraries at the expense of the institution's own determination of critical need. The Higher Education Act already has provisions for libraries in Title II. In addition, H.R. 3486, the Office of Educational Research and Improvement (OERI) reauthorization bill expands OERI's dissemination and library services.

Rather than shifting federal facilities funding to libraries to the exclusion of other needs, Senator Gore's Communications Competitiveness and Infrastructure Modernization Act of 1991 would help fund a rethinking of libraries and information. One of Senator Gore's goals would be to see fiber optics in every school. With the availability of information through two-way interactive video education, our institutions would be more competitive with Japan, France, and Great Britain.

Because this bill would encourage investment in a public broadband network, an institution's access to any library in the country would not be determined by the number of seats in the university library or the number of square feet in the college stacks. This legislation is supported by the American Council on Education, American Association of Community and Junior Colleges, Council of Independent Colleges, National Association for Equal Opportunity in Higher Education, and the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges.

Meanwhile, the Federal Register has been busy printing, including proposed rules for gasoline and alcohol blends volatility (Vol. 56, No. 202) and for motor vehicle lamps and reflective devices and associated equipment replaceable light source dimensional information (Vol. 56, No. 202). These kinds of rules affect the manufacturers more than they do our institutions, but the costs are passed on to all consumers. I understand that new studies will be released shortly to increase estimates of the cost of federal regulations. As three times the $185 billion figure the President uses.

Other news from the Federal Register includes: Occupational Exposure to Formaldehyde (29 CFR Part 1910, 56 FR 5793). To give OSHA time to review the public comment on a proposal to resolve remaining issues on formaldehyde, the stay has been extended for an additional ninety days. Continue to comply with OSHA's Hazard Communication Standard until new rules are published.

ZIP +4 and ZIP +4 Barcoded Rate Presort Requirements (39 CFR Part 111, 56 FR 57724). Although current rules are more applicable to large mailings, the trend is toward a price differential between 9- and 5-digit zip codes mailings. It would be to your advantage to begin collecting +4 zips for anyone to whom you write, and to include the full zip code on the next printings for your own letters and letterhead.
Government Institutes is offering **books and courses** on a wide variety of environmental topics. For more information, contact Government Institutes, Inc., 4 Research Place, #200, Rockville, MD 20850; 301/921-2300.

The EPA Office of Pesticide Programs has a number of brochures and fact sheets on **pesticides issues** available. Some examples of what they have include EPA's Pesticide Program, May 1991; Pesticide Reregistration, March 1991; Citizens Guide to Pesticides, April 1990; Highlights of the 1988 Pesticide Law, December 1988. For more information, contact the Communications Branch at 703/557-5017.

To obtain EPA's final Pesticides and Ground-Water Strategy (Vol 56, No. 215), contact your regional office. Regional EPA offices were listed in the fall *Facilities Manager*.


On November 5, the EPA extended the deadline for **storm water** pollution permits (56 FR 56548) to October 1, 1992. EPA also extended the deadline for submission of the second part of group permits from May 18, 1992 to October 1, 1992 (56 FR 56555). EPA said the extensions were made to allow facilities with rejected applications for group permits to have enough time to obtain sampling data. For more information, contact Thomas Seaton, EPA Office of Wastewater Enforcement, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20460; 202/260-9518, or the NPDES Storm Water Hotline at 703/821-4823.
**MIXING THE RIGHT DOSE OF POWER AND PRESTIGE**

It is tempting to use the full force of your power, prestige, and authority to achieve your goals quickly, prove that you can accomplish things more effectively than others, or simply impress yourself and the organization with your power. Those who are experienced in management recognize that such actions, while feeding egos and perhaps impressing staffs, can lead to serious negative effects and, ultimately, to diminishing power and prestige.

It is important to know what authority, power, and strength you have available to you, as well as the resources, access, poker chips, and IOUs needed for support. Having more strength than those you are dealing or negotiating with does not necessarily mean that you have to use it to accomplish your goals.

In management, people have a good sense of the pecking order and who really has influence, even if the organization charts and position descriptions don’t coincide with reality. When certain people speak, spite of rank, a person is often ignored or humored because of lack of authority or influence, and because others know that he or she has no real power.

Assuming you indeed have real power, you must ask yourself how much you should use in a given situation. If you look at your authority as limited resources others will listen, agree, and respond positively. The reverse is also true. In much you should use in a given situation. If you look at your authority as limited resources rather than unlimited ones, you can then begin to focus on using your power only when needed, in an amount that accomplishes your objectives without being wasteful, and in a manner that does not lead to negative reactions, which would cause you to use more of your precious resources.

If persuasion and discussion can lead to the action you want, why use an order? If a mild reminder, suggestion, or even reprimand will lead to the desired corrected action, why use threats or formal written reprimands? If you can bring about changes in performance and attitude without suspending or firing, why not avoid such actions?

Occasionally you may have to assert your prerogatives just to indicate that they are there and that, if called for, you will use the power you have. But this should not be done merely to show off. Your authority should only be used if the conditions warrant it and in a manner that does not employ an excessive reaction to a mild problem. Some managers want to demonstrate forcefulness and to snuff out any problem by killing it several times over.

But just as it does not make sense to use a cannon when a pistol will accomplish your goal, a measured response should be utilized at those times when you need to demonstrate your authority. You need not be waving your authority around, referring to it, demonstrating it, wearing it on your sleeve. It is far more effective to keep it buried in your hip pocket, unseen, but easily reachable when necessary.

In short, once you and others know you have authority and power, you don’t have to demonstrate it often. It is much better to use these resources rarely but effectively and thus, to paraphrase Teddy Roosevelt, to gain a reputation for speaking and acting softly yet persuasively—not showing the big stick, though being able and willing to use it when necessary.

"Your authority should only be used if the conditions warrant it."

Sigmund G. Ginsburg

Sig Ginsburg is vice president for finance and administration at Barnard College, and lecturer in management systems at Fordham University, both in New York City.
Quality Education and the Facilities Component

by Richard T. Ingram

I welcome this opportunity to share thoughts with you on the subject of academic quality and the vital link between academic and facilities management priorities. I do so as an association executive who works with trustees and chief executives—a capacity I hope will not cause you to be too skeptical of my remarks!

I have several propositions for you to test against your own experience, but first some comments about APPA and some of the trends and issues that make the topic assigned to me so compelling for your profession.

First, as someone who has witnessed, firsthand, APPA’s development as a major player among the thirty higher education associations in Washington, D.C., congratulations to you and your association’s leaders. Walt Schaw, his staff, and the Board of Directors are making a big difference. They represent you very well!

They have earned the respect and confidence of their peers in the association world and have advanced the recognition of your profession significantly.

The studies and reports APPA has produced in recent years have alerted presidents, business officers, trustees, and government leaders to the magnitude and urgency of decades of neglect to higher education’s physical assets.

Your association has provided the kinds of tools needed by institutional leaders to assess the condition of the campus and to set priorities that guide the allocation of resources.

And, most importantly, APPA continues to develop models of creative financing and cost containment that capture the attention of trustees, presidents, and chief business leaders who are feeling, along with you, very beleaguered these days. How to do more with less is on everyone’s mind these days.

I would like to share a few thoughts about the current environment within which we do our jobs. For reasons known to all of us, we are experiencing the most profound challenges in our lifetimes to find new and creative ways to manage and lead. We are told by those who look at the numbers that the 1980s were good to education—that, on average, college and university budgets expanded at a real growth rate of about 2 percent each year in the decade. It takes higher education economists to tell us that we had it so good!

But there are three other points that bear on our topic:

1. Higher education’s image among elected political leaders and the general public is at an all-time low.

Without reciting the reasons known to all of us, this fact is particularly disconcerting because of our economic difficulties. It is a bad combination. The scrutiny of public K-12 education and the policy debates underway in the health care industry are about to shift to the higher education industry. Are we ready for it?

An “optimistic cynic” might say that it is remarkable that higher education has been able to maintain public confidence for as long as it has. In a nation whose people are so naturally skeptical about all of their institutions, most especially their elected legislatures and leaders, higher education seems also to be falling from grace.

2. Our publics, and we, are obsessed with improving the quality of education at all levels.

And what is interesting about this preoccupation—one that is not really new but has taken on a sense of urgency—is how our academic leaders have chosen to define quality; it is no longer taken for granted or accepted the way it was. Never mind that quality was and is extremely difficult to define and measure; we all know it when we see it, don’t we?

Thus the call by legislatures for mandated testing to assess educational attainment, new criticism of tenure policies, criticism of teaching loads as if course schedules are the only measure of faculty productivity, and so on. The ivory tower is no more in the mind of our publics, and we will have to demonstrate more convincingly what parents and taxpayers are getting for their dollars.

3. American society and its colleges and universities are on the eve of the most profound social and demographic changes in this century.

Are we ready for what is already here? Do we understand the implications of cultural diversity for the way we manage and lead our departments, our schools? Do those of you who hold responsible positions on campus accept the concept that the campus is the ideal laboratory to demonstrate to the larger society how multiculturalism can and should work? Are we ready to improve a poor record in minority hiring, especially in major staff and faculty positions? The new learners need role models. And will there be implications for the way campus environments will be planned?

The new types of learners with backgrounds and experiences different from our own will require changes in a service industry that has proven itself quite capable of resisting change for centuries. Indeed, most of us would argue that this has been why higher education has survived largely intact and true to its fundamental mission of transmitting knowledge in societies that often did not want to have it.

The vicissitudes of fickle public policy require a good measure of independence. The question now, however, is how much independence? Virtually every industrialized country in the world has asked the same question.

The question is more pressing in the United States because changes are coming much faster than the academy...
seems prepared to help society and government to cope with them. Whether the academy's tendency to move at a snail's pace will prove to be a virtue rather than a vice remains to be seen.

Caspa Harris, president of the National Association of College and University Business Officers, said it well in some recent remarks. He said: "...higher education markets, programs, and technologies are changing too quickly for top management to keep abreast of all the latest developments. Slowly we are becoming aware that it is impossible to respond rapidly to the simultaneous demands for lower cost and higher quality without radically improving coordination and teamwork."

There is a great need for coordination and teamwork on campus. Facilities officers and academic leaders must find new ways of working together, and it is likely going to be the facilities officer who will take the initiative—or it will not happen.

The Reverend Robert Hutchins of the University of Chicago once quipped that, "a university is a series of disparate schools and departments united only by the fact that they share a common president and board of trustees."

And educator Clark Kerr more recently said, "The university is a series of individual entrepreneurs who are combined by a common complaint over parking."

Facilities officers must find new ways of working closely with faculty entrepreneurs, because tough decisions about academic goals and priorities will have implications for facilities and equipment. What is done with limited resources will require more teamwork and coordination than was necessary before.

How can we link improvements in the quality of teaching and academic programs with facilities management? First, a few obvious connections.

We know that prospective students and their parents are significantly influenced in their decisions by their first and quick impressions when they visit your campus. The perception of quality is made on the basis of their impressions of the physical environment. Trustees, presidents, and faculty need to be reminded of this fact at every turn. That's for starters.

As academic leaders increasingly understand and appreciate your profession, and what it can do for them and their responsibilities, they will recognize that you are at the center of everything that everyone sees (and doesn't see). The new concerns about campus safety, hazardous waste disposal, recycling, and risk management all drive home the point.

But the new frontier in your work is surely the challenge of demonstrating to academic leaders that you also have ideas about interpreting the implications of academic goals and objectives into facilities priorities that are reinforcing and supportive.

Why is this worth the effort?

- Increasingly scarce dollars will be invested in strengthening academic programs and teaching first. Showing the best "fit" between those priorities and the best use of facilities is in your best interest.
- The next several years will give you a special opportunity to establish what we can call a "tradition of concern" about nourishing and protecting capital assets on your campus where one may not now exist.
- Doing so is simply good for your profession, and for you in how you are perceived as a campus leader. Demonstrating that you are doing something useful to add to the coming debates about how facilities can contribute to better undergraduate and adult instruction is a good thing for everyone. Ditto for your advice about how the new and emerging technologies can be accommodated in existing or renovated facilities.

How can facilities officers hitch their wagons to the academic engine?

The key is to open good lines of communication with academic leaders—lines that rarely exist on campus today. Be sure you know who the real academic leaders are, the movers and shakers who influence decisions. Who knows what is going on in academic planning? It isn't only the provost or academic vice president or dean who is in the center of things. It is often the department heads or a special ad hoc committee. When is the last time you took a dean or department head to lunch?

And there are other initiatives you can take:

- Read The Chronicle of Higher Education faithfully to keep abreast of what is going on academically. Be familiar with trends and issues and debates yet to come. Use this knowledge in your conversations. Establish your credibility as someone who is keeping up with the use of computer-assisted instruction, teleconferencing, and satellites.

- Get yourself invited on academic planning committees where you can be a good listener and asker of questions that have implications for facilities management.

- Establish a reputation as someone who can help academicians translate their needs into decisions about facilities priorities.

These are times that separate pessimists from optimists, leaders from whiners. These are exciting times when emerging professionals can establish their footing, organizations can improve their performance, individuals can make reputations for themselves. It is a time for change and many of the old protocols will not hold up as new working relationships among campus leaders develop.

In closing, I offer a few questions to ponder:

- Are new trustees invited to tour your campus within the first few months? Do they see at least one building of every type and purpose? Who conducts these tours?
- Who sets the agenda for the buildings and grounds committee? Do you, do you at least see the agenda and supporting materials? Does the committee see regular reports of plant condition, resource allocations, space utilization, major repairs and renovation, capital construction?
- Would a small advisory committee of local citizens with specialized expertise be helpful to you? Could they help with cost containment ideas? Help you to consider cost-effective options? Maybe even with fundraising for a few special projects? Could you sell the concept to management?

- Could you be a fundraiser? As one philanthropist told me recently: "There is a lot of loose change out there." You must be careful with the politics on your campus and coordinate such initiatives with institutional advancement offices, but think about individuals and corporations that might be willing and able to help with something that needs to be done. Money and contributed services and materials are out there. Let's go get them!

If there was ever a golden opportunity to show leadership, this is it. Linking your responsibilities more closely with those of academic leaders is the way to go. Just as the budgeting process will have to be integrated much more closely with academic priorities, campus facilities priorities will have to be linked there as well.
Adapting Old Buildings for New Uses

by Steven Einhorn and Jim McKinney

Faced with the rising cost of new construction and diminishing opportunities to site new buildings on mature campuses, college administrators across the nation are concluding that it makes good sense to give new life to older buildings through adaptive use. Whether an existing facility is historically significant or simply a desirable background building, it may be a candidate for adaptive use if it can successfully meet new program needs cost-effectively.

Balancing the old with the new is the art of the adaptive use process. Adaptive use may mean modifying an existing building to accommodate a change of use—for example, from a residence hall to classrooms. It may also mean taking a new approach to an existing use—such as a significant change in configuration of a residence hall from a traditional double-loaded corridor of two-student rooms to a mix of units, including suites and singles.

Whatever the adaptation, the process always involves changing the internal organization of the building. When the program cannot be fully accommodated in this way, the project may also encompass expansion. Adaptive use virtually always requires upgrades in the mechanical, electrical, and plumbing systems as well as modifications to meet current life safety, accessibility, and energy codes.

Existing buildings can often be adapted to radically new uses. The diversity of the following actual projects shows that there is a broad range of opportunities for adaptive use on campus: from a museum to an office/meeting facility; from residence hall to classrooms, academic offices, and library; and from gymnasium to housing.

For Love or Money?

Many people believe that the two reasons for saving an older building are the ability to save on construction costs or the building’s historic value. In fact, the decision to adapt an older building may be made based on the interaction of several factors: cost, functionality, emotional or nostalgic considerations, historic significance, and physical contribution to campus.

It rarely costs more to save an existing building than to raze it and replace it, as long as one is comparing apples to apples. That is, the cost of renovating a good-quality older building is generally less than what it costs to replace it with a new building of equal quality. True, one can build four walls and a flat roof at a lower cost than adapting a quality older building, but most colleges are looking at the long term, not just low initial cost. Sometimes the higher quality of historic materials and finishes results in lower maintenance. Additionally, older buildings may offer long-term advantages of larger interior volumes, which can provide a more flexible and...

Inset, Hasbrouck Apartments before renovation. Drawing, rendition of Hasbrouck Apartments after renovation.
higher quality interior.

Adaptive use often saves time, too, which means a lower total cost. It is possible to renovate a residence hall over a three-month summer vacation, for example, while new construction could take twelve to eighteen months.

College buildings that have been built to last fifty to 100 years or more are functional resources. Quality older buildings are both solid, and, often, quite flexible about accepting new uses, thanks to their high floor-to-floor measurements, generous window areas, and substantial structural systems.

Many older college buildings have symbolic value to alumni, who may want to preserve a building that brings back special memories. From a business development standpoint, administrators have to be sensitive to these nostalgic attachments.

An older building may be historically significant if it is an excellent example of an architectural style, if it is the work of a famous architect, or if an important event is connected with the building. Often historic buildings can be defined as "signature" buildings that appear in college publications and create a sense of tradition. The college is the caretaker of a cultural heritage that is important both to the institution and to the surrounding community.

Even if it is not historically significant, a quality older building may make an important visual contribution to the

The Feasibility Study: Seven Steps to a Go/No-Go Decision

1. Evaluate existing conditions. A general evaluation consists of a walk-through visual inspection and an examination of record drawings and documents. The walk-through should be done by a team of architects and engineers who are experienced in adaptive use. Next, a structural evaluation determines the building's capacities. Mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and fire safety systems are examined to see what needs to be modified or replaced. The building is inspected for asbestos and other hazardous materials. Architectural elements that should be preserved are identified.

2. Determine project requirements. The architect/engineer (AE) and college administrators establish the requirements of the project: overall goals, the reason for the project, and expected outcome; program goals and quantity of space needed; levels of quality and flexibility required; budget parameters; schedule requirements; and construction phasing opportunities and availability of swing space.

3. Perform code analysis. In most jurisdictions, a change in use of a building triggers a requirement for current code compliance as if the building were new. Applicable codes may include life safety, energy, and accessibility.

4. Analyze program/building fit. The AE determines the building's ability to accommodate the program's quantitative and qualitative needs. This may involve a choice between adjusting the program to fit the building or adjusting the building to fit the program.

5. Develop alternative design concepts. The AE generates architectural and engineering solutions, considering the interrelated issues of space planning, engineering systems, and cost impact. Typically, there might be a number of approaches established to meet the college's goals.

6. Conduct regulatory review. Before an approach is selected, all pertinent regulatory agencies must be consulted to see what impacts the review process might have on the final design selection. State or local preservation groups, the local planning or zoning board, the insurance company, and even the fire department should be consulted. It is extremely beneficial to involve these groups early to broaden the base of ownership of the final design choice, which will result in a smoother approval process.

7. Select the preferred design alternative. The AE develops, reviews, and selects with the college the desired design alternative that best meets the college's goals. The selected scheme will illustrate horizontal and vertical relationships; construction costs; operating/maintenance costs; phasing strategy; and, in some cases, conceptual sketches, especially if the project will require modification of the exterior.

—S.E. & J.M.
campus. Its architecture may create an orientation point, define a quadrangle, frame an important view, or provide a gateway to another part of the campus.

Feasibility Study
What attributes of an existing building make it a good adaptive use candidate? A seven-step feasibility study answers that question and determines whether an older building can successfully accommodate space and financial goals. An architect/engineer (AE) team will evaluate existing conditions, determine project requirements in concert with the college, analyze building codes, study the program/building "fit," devise alternative design concepts, determine impacts of the regulatory review process, and come up with preferred alternatives. (See sidebar.)

The feasibility study is the most important part of the entire project analysis, yielding a go/no-go decision. When the feasibility study is well organized, it eliminates the typical hazards that can destroy the planning or economic goals of a project.

The cost of the study will vary with the size and characteristics of the existing building, condition of existing documentation, program complexity, ease of accommodating the program in the space available, and the level of detail of design alternatives and drawings required by the client. It will likely range from 25 cents per square foot for a project that requires only a basic study, to 50 cents per square foot for an average project, to $1 per square foot for a highly complex project, such as one that involves a landmark building.

Creating and Reclaiming Space
Many older buildings are inefficient in their space utilization, resulting in actual usable space of less than 50 percent. Improving the yield of a building to bring it closer to the average 65 to 70 percent usable space of new buildings is a key economic factor in initiating an adaptive use project.

There are several opportunities for increasing usable space: reorganize the circulation; reclaim attic or basement space; insert a mezzanine or a new floor; decentralize mechanical/electrical systems; stack support components, such as mechanical spaces and restrooms; and use well-designed furniture systems to increase the efficiency of the floor layout.

Reorganization is not always enough, however. There are four fundamental opportunities for an expansion: add on horizontally, infill a courtyard or light well, build up vertically, or expand below ground. Because open space is often at a premium on college campuses, horizontal expansion is not always possible.

Historic Review
When an addition is the answer, a design choice must be made. Should it replicate the existing architecture of the original period, echo rather than copy the proportions and materials of the period, or should it contrast the original with contemporary forms and materials? There is no right or wrong approach — there are good and bad examples of each.

Some successful adaptive use projects on college campuses have been those that replicate the existing building, but this is the most difficult of all solutions to do well. A replication must be done with knowledge, skill, and great care or the result may detract from the original. In fact, the National Park Service guidelines for preservation and restoration work, Secretary of the Interior's Guidelines for Rehabilitation of Historic Properties, actually discourages additions that attempt to replicate the original building.

The AE should involve historic preservation agencies/interest groups early in the design process, fostering a two-way communication between these bodies and the college. Through working together, they should formulate the design goals and guidelines for the project.

A Study in Contrasts
To illustrate the way the adaptive use process works, here's a look at two projects undertaken by Cornell University in Ithaca, New York. The two buildings are a study in contrasts: one, an adaptation of a 125-year-old landmark structure from residential to academic functions; the other, an update of a functional but ordinary multifamily student housing complex.

Sage Hall, designed in 1872 by Charles Babcock, first dean of Cornell's School of Architecture, is considered one of the finest Gothic Revival college buildings constructed in the decade following the Civil War. The structure was originally designed as the first women's college. It now contains a dormitory, dining facilities, and offices.

Initially, Cornell considered a minimal renovation of Sage Hall to accom-
moderate a change of use, but determined such a project would not be cost effective given that the existing plan configuration of the building did not functionally accommodate academic uses. The university then did a comprehensive feasibility study and, ultimately, a complete adaptive use renovation and expansion project.

Over a period of five years, the 95,000-square-foot building will be adapted to provide faculty offices, classrooms, and a computer center for all mathematics departments; a learning skills center; faculty apartments; a career center; and a graduate center and lounge.

This involves renovation of the interior to meet program needs and life safety codes—including replacement of the wood structural system, installation of air conditioning and ventilation systems, new heating and electrical systems, and a wire management system to enable computer and communications systems networking.

To increase usable program space to 105,000 square feet, compatible additions will close Sage Hall’s “U” shape and fill the courtyard, to be covered by a four-story glass atrium. The historic exterior will be restored.

Cornell has many reasons for renovating Sage Hall, including its historic/nostalgic value; limited new building site opportunities within the central campus; and a pressing need for additional academic space. In summary, the factors driving the Sage Hall renovation include the building’s prime location, space needs, and its historic visual importance. Clearly, cost savings was not the project impetus.

Cornell is also undertaking another adaptive use project. Constructed about thirty years ago, Hasbrouck Apartments contains 246 one- and two-bedroom apartments in twenty-nine garden-type units on twenty-one acres of land at Cornell.

The university contracted for a feasibility study, and ultimately implemented a four-part adaptive use project: first, a comprehensive renovation of the existing buildings; second, the addition of ninety new units through a vertical expansion (third floor) of sixteen buildings; third, expansion of community and academic facilities on the site; and fourth, upgrading the site with additional parking, landscaping, lighting, and walkways.

Renovations include roof and window replacement, additional insulation, interior improvements, and new mechanical, electrical, plumbing, and fire safety systems. Additions expand the number of units by approximately 35 percent, while they also improve the exterior appearance of the structures.

Reorganization of this site, including new outdoor spaces, enhances the quality of the complex. Common buildings incorporate academic spaces within the “new” community.

Cornell’s decision to renovate and vertically expand Hasbrouck Apartments was based on limited land economics (a lack of new building sites in the area), and the fact that this approach yields lower costs than new building construction.

These contrasting projects illustrate the fact that adaptive use can enable a college or university to meet pressing needs for academic, administrative, and housing space on campus—whether the existing structure is a landmark or simply a serviceable background building. A well-planned adaptive use project gives new life to older buildings by combining the best of the past with today’s technology.

---

We’ve done our homework

And we’re ready to be put to the test.

For over 80 years we’ve majored in providing high quality contract building maintenance services without the high cost. And we’ve learned how to do that by listening to our clients. People like you. Learning your needs. Studying ways to save you money. Analyzing costs, productivity and quality levels so well that some of our clients have saved as much as 20% compared to in-house programs.

Because we’ve done our homework, you’ll find that our proposals are detailed, accurate, and meet the unique needs of your campus. ABM contract cleaning, engineering services, and ground care all pass the test. Every time. On time. On budget.

Go ahead. Test us. Call today—415-597-4500, Ext. 148. Or write Robert Ramirez, Vice President, ABM College & University Division. He’ll show why we’re at the head of our class.

Robert Ramirez, Vice President College & University Division American Building Maintenance Co. 50 Fremont Street, Suite 2600 San Francisco, CA 94105-2230
Miami University's Job Enrichment Program

by Esther M. Geiermann

How do you motivate employees? What catalyst can be used to provide the factors involved in creating job satisfaction? At Miami University we believe we have found the answer to these age-old questions. By adopting the philosophy that when the job is enriched it can bring about more effective use of personnel, we think we have hit upon the magic formula.

About three years ago at Miami University, the physical facilities department undertook the task of developing job enrichment programs that would enable employees to experience personal and professional growth and perform more complex and skilled work with less supervision. By using brainstorming techniques, the project teams developed several methods by which employees could improve their employment and economic positions.

The Job Enrichment Program presents professional opportunities not previously available and opens up a new world to physical facilities employees. Job enrichment offers psychological growth and acts as a stimulant. It gives the employee a sense of purpose and professional achievement. Along with achievement, the Job Enrichment Program provides the additional motivational factors of recognition for achievement, increased responsibility, growth, and advancement.

A person can enter the physical facilities work force at the laborer level, then can meet the program's entry level criteria, complete course work, pass validated examinations at several professional levels, and work herself or himself all the way up to a master position. This opportunity is available in the groundskeeping area, automotive field, and in thirteen skilled trades. It is an exceptional program and deserves careful examination by anyone who is serious about doing more for their employees.

A 25-minute videotape describing the Miami University Physical Facilities Job Enrichment Program is available from the office of the director.

How the Job Enrichment Program Began

Miami University's Physical Facilities Department is responsible for maintaining the quality of university buildings and grounds. We expect our employees to quickly develop specialized skills and gain a working knowledge of the campus community, its programs and activities. We rely heavily on the skills and knowledge of our employees to keep up with the changing campus community and increased demands for excellence and service without adding full-time employees.

Mirroring the concerns of other organizations, employee motivation and productivity has been a main area of concern at Miami University. For the past several years the topic of motivation has been discussed both formally and informally. Recognizing that the facilities operation and the university community are continually undergoing change, especially with ever shrinking budgets, we felt limited in our effort to keep up with these demands.

We could no longer seek to employ only lawn mower pushers, general re-
pair persons, and custodians. Today we are compelled to demand much more from our employees. In addition to quickly developing specialized skills, we expect workers to have a thorough knowledge of the campus community, its mission, policies, programs, and activities.

We require our grounds employees to have a professional knowledge of plant material, planting and transplanting methods, and pesticide safety and practices. We expect them to understand plant health standards, recognize insect and plant diseases, and know how to control those diseases. We use a wide variety of complex, specialized equipment and rely heavily on the skill and knowledge of our employees to maintain, operate, and follow safety practices for this equipment.

Our building services personnel are expected to keep up with higher demands in housekeeping, health practices, and constantly changing cleaning agents, materials, and surfaces. Our automotive technicians are expected to stay up-to-date with the rapidly changing technology of the automotive industry in order to maintain the large number and variety of vehicles in the university motor pool.

Miami University is geographically isolated from any major city. Skilled tradespeople were becoming increasingly difficult for us to attract and retain. Nearly 80 percent of almost 100 tradespeople at Miami have been promoted internally. It became evident that we needed a system that would not only formally train all of our workers, but would also give us some objectivity in promotion within the division.

At Miami University we are fortunate to tend to attract "career type" employees and experience a low rate of employee turnover. This unique situation provides us with great opportunities for employee development. However, our system of advancement by vacancy, especially when there are few vacancies, left little room for initiative. Our career-oriented employees had little incentive to develop and were not adequately recognized for their efforts. As these ambitious employees developed their skills and became more valuable members of the work force, it was apparent that something had to be done to improve job satisfaction. It was imperative that a system be devised to provide more challenging and reward-

ing work if we hoped to retain these employees. With this charge in mind, planning committees were formed for the various physical facilities areas and the development started for job enrichment programs.

Program Objectives
Objectives of the programs are as follows:
1. To provide a job enrichment program that would allow the employees the opportunity and motivation to demonstrate their ability, earn promotion to higher level jobs, and thus become more valuable and productive employees.
2. To increase job knowledge and skills that will enable employees to keep pace with the rapidly changing technologies and methodologies.
3. To increase performance, enabling us to sustain a growing, ever-changing campus, and ever-increasing demands for higher levels of excellence, without adding new personnel.
4. To design a program that will be cost effective and provide value beyond the cost of implementation and management.

Program teams found that existing job descriptions were in need of updating in order to reflect present-day standards of excellence and expectations. The revised job descriptions included job title, detailed description of the work, position function, qualifications, and certification. Current employees were assigned a revised classification equal to their present classification. New job classifications were also created to allow the employee to advance through the various levels of the program.

Criteria for entrance into and continuation in a job enrichment program would be based on employee work performance history, length of service in each classification, and achievement of appropriate levels of trade knowledge and skill development, along with the recommendation of the employee’s immediate supervisor.

Outside agencies were approached to develop formal training programs to provide an equal opportunity for every employee to develop the necessary skills to achieve promotion to the next highest level in their job classification. Department supervisors were assigned the task of developing individual training agendas for the formal and on-the-job training for each employee and a standard system of recording and certifying training progress and skill development. The revised job descriptions served as a guide for this process.

Developing the Grounds and Automotive Services Program
Cincinnati Technical College (CTC) developed the curriculum for the Campus Grounds Services and Automotive Services areas. Each of these two programs are designed to take the employee through the levels of assistant, groundskeeper or automotive technician, senior, and master. The primary objective was to guarantee that as employees progressed through these levels, they were sufficiently educated for the jobs they were required to perform.

The grounds services program’s first
step was to conduct on-site visits that assisted CTC in determining exactly what job duties and responsibilities were required in each of the four categories. The CTC project team observed employees working in their natural environment, the types of tools and equipment used, and the daily challenges they encountered.

Following the initial interviews, CTC devised a system of training modules. The training would enable the employee to better realize and understand the duties and responsibilities in the next level of the position. Each module consists of four hours of training per week for eight weeks. Upon completion of the eight-week series of lectures and hands-on training, CTC administers the written portion of the final examination to the students.

Students receiving a score of at least 70 percent on the written examination are eligible to take the skills examination segment of the testing process. The skills examination includes working on actual equipment or performing specific procedures directly related to the job function. Depending upon the job level requirements, the student will actually prune a hedge, mow a particular piece of property, perhaps start a new lawn from seed, or possibly train a new employee. The skills tests were designed and are administered in conjunction with CTC instructors and Miami University groundskeeping supervisors and managers.

The student must receive an average score of 70 percent for the first two levels of the grounds service program and an average score of 80 percent to achieve master classification.

The Automotive Technician Job Enrichment Program began when CTC developed a method to evaluate the current knowledge level of the employees. This was accomplished by means of on-site visits to talk with employees and administering a series of eight validated proficiency examinations. The process allowed CTC to design a set of courses unique to the skills level of the Miami University physical facilities employee, thus eliminating wasted time, money, and energy on material in which the employees were already sufficiently skilled.

When formal class work is completed, the evaluation process is repeated to determine the proficiency level achieved at that point. Via this method of course work and post-testing, employees are assisted in advancing through the four levels of the automotive technician classification.

The automotive job enrichment committee recognizes the competency and reputation of the National Institute for Automotive Service Excellence (ASE) as the best resource for keeping abreast of the rapidly changing automotive technology. Application was made to ASE for certification testing.

### The Job Enrichment Program . . . opens up a new world to physical facilities employees.

Certification in each of the eight automotive categories is used as part of the evaluation process for promotion and reclassification.

Examinations are scheduled by the institute approximately every six months. Certified automotive technicians must be retested and recertified every five years. The physical facilities department and CTC have agreed that after completion of the initial eight courses there would be a continuation program. The next two-year plan is to conduct advanced classes that specialize in electronics training, fuel delivery, and other categories requisite to maintaining excellence in the automotive field.

### Reclassification and Bonus

It takes five years to advance from the entry-level assistant groundskeeper and assistant automotive technician positions to the master position. After successfully meeting all criteria for advancement, employees are recommended for promotion to the next highest classification and receive a one-time bonus equal to 2.5 percent of their annual salary for the groundskeeper and senior groundskeeper classification, and 5 percent of their annual salary for the master groundskeeper class.

Should no vacant position exist within the next highest classification, the employee will receive the bonus and be certified as eligible for promotion when the next vacancy occurs. Should several employees be certified at the same time, the employee with the highest rating in the evaluation process will be placed in the highest order for that period.

The Skilled Trades Job Enrichment Program allows training of those people who have already selected a skilled craft. The master trades position allows individuals who have already attained a trades level position to advance beyond their existing pay scales and job positions without accepting training or responsibilities for management placement.

D. Russell Lee Career Center of the Butler County Joint Vocational School District was contracted to assist in development of the Skilled Trades Job Enrichment Program. As in the other programs, the process began with interviews of key workers in all the craft areas. Approximately 400 questions were asked to determine the kinds of tasks and skills needed and used on the job, and how critical the knowledge is to the effective operation of the department. This data described the training necessary for a person to become proficient in the specific trade.

The career center then conducted a survey of more than forty institutions in the area and around the state and closely examined available curricula for training and apprenticeship programs offered at twenty of these schools. With this information, career ladder schedules were established for each of the maintenance department skilled crafts positions. The report generated from the survey also identified which institutions offer courses needed in order for the employee to advance through the program. In some areas training is only available by means of self-study programs such as TPC Training Systems or correspondence courses.

The program also offers maintenance repair workers (MRW) the opportunity for training and advancement. Prior to promotion to an MRW I position, a hands-on coordination test is administered to determine the employee's ability to succeed in the skilled crafts field.

Successful completion of four general courses are required to advance from
MRW 1 to MRW 2. The MRW 2 worker will be considered for entry into a skilled trades job enrichment program as an assistant, following completion of a basic mechanics course and three Level 1 courses in the trade curriculum.

Written examinations for the self-study training modules are administered by the career center. To pass a self-study course, the student must receive a score of 70 percent. Passing scores for formal classroom training at outside institutions are determined by the institution.

The final stage of the skilled trades program looked at employees who have had several years of work experience. These employees could be considered master craftsmen, but have learned most of their training on the job and have not been exposed to the required formal training. The career center developed competencies tests whereby employees in this category, who are recommended by their supervisor, can "test out" on some of the required courses and move up through the classification levels.

It takes eight years to advance from the entry level Maintenance Repair Worker 1 position to the master position.

Recertification

Advancement in all job enrichment programs is based on skills and knowledge development, successful completion of the appropriate and equal opportunity validated evaluation process, work performance history, length of service in each classification, and recommendation of the immediate supervisor.

When master classification criteria is met, successful candidates are awarded a certificate of completion, a physical facilities department jacket, and recognition in the newsletter and on department bulletin boards, in addition to the promotion and salary increase.

Should the immediate supervisor find all criteria has not been met, the employee will be notified in writing. The notification must specify areas where deficiencies exist and a recommended means for achieving success.

To date, one grounds service worker, four automotive technicians, and six skilled trades employees have accepted the challenge and completed all levels of training to reach master status. As each employee is recognized for achieving master, it seems to generate the enthusiasm of additional physical facilities employees to enter into the program.

Career ladder opportunities also exist in the building services division. A custodial worker can advance to the position of building repair worker (BRW). In this classification, 20 percent of the work effort is spent performing semi-skilled carpentry, electrical, and plumbing functions in the building where the custodial services are performed. On-

"We are excited about our Job Enrichment Programs from both an employee and a management perspective."

—Roger E. Rowe

the-job training to perform slightly higher level skills is provided this employee. This move can spark the initial interest in the skilled trades field.

A building grounds worker (BGW) career path is in the development stage. In this position, approximately 10 percent of the custodian's work effort would be devoted to trimming, watering, and maintaining the grounds area immediately adjacent to his or her assigned building. This path would be the initial phase leading the custodian into the Grounds Services Job Enrichment Program.

Reaction of Employees and Supervisors

Reaction to the program has been extremely positive. One participant said that, "it is long overdue." Another said, "Once the employees know and understand the program, hopefully, individuals will take advantage of the opportunity to improve themselves, help Miami, and make working at the university a pleasure again." Employees also expressed belief that the Job Enrichment Program will offer them job security. By being better trained in their field, workers feel that they can accomplish more jobs in-house, rather than using outside contractors.

Overall employee perception is that department committees have worked diligently on the Job Enrichment Program for a long time. Employees agree that a great deal of effort has been put into making it a good opportunity for employees who want to take advantage of the program to advance in their field without having to move into a management position.

Benefits of the Program

Roger E. Rowe, director of physical facilities, states, "We are excited about our Job Enrichment Programs from both an employee and a management perspective. All employees need to perceive personal growth. These opportunities, coupled with an employee participative management program, is the way we want to do business in the physical facilities department in the 1990s. The Job Enrichment Programs allow employees the opportunity and motivation to demonstrate their ability to earn higher level positions, and provides the department with a work force of more highly skilled, productive, and valuable employees. Management has the obligation to acknowledge the reality that employees must achieve personal growth if they are going to be instrumental in the organization achieving its required mission."

The current programs have been so successful that we are in the process of developing a similar opportunity for our building services division. It, too, will offer the building services worker (formerly called custodian) the opportunity to advance to the master level.

Job enrichment is a viable alternative to the short-range alternative of adding staff or awarding outside contracts each time an obstacle, growth, or change is encountered. Equally important, job enrichment enables us to recognize our valuable employees who have accepted the challenge to increase their skills and are participating in this constructive effort of professional development.

While the initial expense is somewhat significant, management agrees that the Job Enrichment Program is the best long-range means of achieving professional status. This professionalism is needed if physical facilities is to continue to provide in-house services in a competitive manner.
It's 1991: Do you know what your trash is?" So starts an article in the May 1991 issue of National Geographic (page 123). The article stresses some interesting points that will have a major impact upon the future of trash and trash disposal in the 1990s. For instance, it is estimated that every man, woman, and child generates four pounds of trash every day (up from 3.5 pounds in the late 1980s).

As gross disposed pounds increase, landfill disposal space is decreasing at an alarming rate, with subsequent increases in disposal and tip surcharges. A speaker at a recent seminar conducted in Chicago indicated that 50 percent of the current landfills will be closed by the end of 1995. Persons choosing to ignore their garbage may be in for a rude awakening in this decade, and may find themselves figuratively, if not literally, buried in trash.

As the amounts of waste increases, so are disposal rates. Some segments of the United States are paying more than $100 per ton for disposal of nonhazardous waste. On top of these escalating rates are the tip surcharges levied by local communities. As trash mounts, space is declining. Our ability to dispose of the trash is decreasing because our available budget dollars are not keeping pace with the rapidly increasing cost of garbage disposal.

Is there an easy and rapid answer that will provide a solution to this problem? There is an answer, one that has been under our noses literally for decades; the solution, like the answers to many of life's problems, is complex but highly practical. The answer is to recycle products, and to implement an effective waste minimization plan. There are economic and ecological incentives to do so. Jack Debell, the recycling director at the University of Colorado (cited in Environmental Management, January 1991), indicates that the average person in an office discards more than 175 pounds of valuable high-grade paper per year. The American Paper Institute states that the average American uses 650 pounds of paper a year, and the vast majority ends up in the landfill. High-grade recycled paper may generate more than $70 per ton, much more in some geographic areas.

In addition, Debell cites that "harvesting waste paper from..."
cities [dare we say a college campus? — question is mine] creates five times as many jobs as does harvesting the raw materials from forests. Over time, there is money in trash!

Jeff Vincent of IBM states that "if we can reduce our waste, it boosts profitability." According to Vincent, an environmental engineer at the Rochester, Minnesota division of IBM, the company currently recycles 63 percent of its waste material — 3,656 tons of it in 1989. At $75 per ton for tipping fees, the company saved $275,000. IBM is not alone, and the following example illustrates the potential impact upon the environment.

Cheryl LaPerna, recycling coordinator for AT&T's office paper recycling program, says in New Jersey alone the company saved at least $372,000. This translated into 62,395 trees that were saved from logging (and made for happier owls); almost 16,000 cubic yards of waste didn't have to go to the landfill; and they saved the equivalent of 9,176 barrels of oil. (The IBM and AT&T examples are extrapolated from The Full Circle: Recycling Newsletter, Spring/Summer 1991.)

Recycling makes both dollars and sense over the long haul, and has an incredible impact upon the environment. This fact has not been ignored by some of the major retailers. Both Walmart and K-Mart are now stressing the environmental issues in many of their in-store displays, indicating their concern and commitment to the environment. In addition, in many states, recycling is either the law or quickly becoming the law. Many states have established recycling goals and objectives.

Communities are beginning to ban items from their landfills, such as tires, mattresses, batteries, grass clippings, leaves, large appliances, motor oils, solvents, and paints, just to name a few. Failure to abide by applicable laws may result in a fine or a surcharge. For these reasons alone it is imperative that the university community be in the forefront of recycling efforts. A proactive, responsive, and ethical waste disposal and minimization program will reap ecological, environmental, economic, and legal benefits that will prepare our institutions for the 1990s and the twenty-first century!

In order to begin a recycling program, a paradigm shift is imperative. The shift requires that, both mentally and physically, institutions and facilities move from the opulence of the belief that resources are there to be exploited in a never-ending supply, to the understanding that resources are becoming increasingly limited, and the ability to dispose of end-user trash ad infinitum has become a thing of the past.

The paradigm shift should include a minimum of four elements: reeducation in the very way we think and process solid waste.
waste, a reduction in the amount of products that enter the waste stream from both purchasing sources to end-user waste, a reuse of materials whenever practical, and recycling. The elements are progressive in nature, and it is interesting to note that recycling comes last as indicated by the editors of Full Circle: Recycling Newsletter. One of the best indicators of this shift is illustrated by Cheryl LaPema of AT&T when she said, "I want the whole world to know how easy it is to recycle."

Once the shift is realized, the institution is on the threshold of a realistic solid waste management program for the 1990s. In order to effectively manage an integrated waste management program, a series of steps must be followed as discussed below. These steps are intended to be in a sequential order, based upon the inputs of numerous institutions nationwide; however, it is realized that no particular program is suited to all institutions and that each organization may opt to select only those steps that are meaningful to its institution.

Commitment and Cooperation
It is absolutely imperative to solicit the commitment and cooperation of executive decision makers and implementers of decisions at any level. As many elements of the university community should be involved, including but not limited to, environmental health and safety; risk management; purchasing; schools of biological, environmental, and health sciences; solid waste generators such as food services, residence halls, laboratories, printing services, campus facilities, physical plant, faculty, students, and employees, especially building services or custodial representatives as these persons are the most important resource in the handling and disposal of solid waste.

In addition, selected inputs may be solicited from environmental community leaders. If a committee approach is warranted, it may be wise to heed the admonition of Tom Lindmeier, an editor of an environmental newsletter. On recycling, and recycling committees, he states: "Don't study it ... research it ... committee it ... or task force your company's waste problem right into the ground. The time to take action is NOW!"

The cooperation and commitment should extend beyond verbal support, and should be integrated into a written, communicated, and established university plan that includes specific goals and objectives that are realistic and meet or exceed legislated standards. Solid waste management programs cost money, and oftentimes these monies have to be established up front, with the cost prorated over several years. A commitment of policy support without fiscal commitment is about as effective as a tiger without teeth!

Recycling Coordinator
It is vitally important, in an institution of any size, to establish a recycling coordinator who is dedicated to and enthusiastic about the goals and objectives of a recycling program. Establishing the recycling program by using "an additional assigned duty" to a manager with an already overburdened workload is establishing a recipe for defeat. No matter how dedicated the manager. Many of the most effective programs have recognized this up front, and have taken the plunge to fund a full-time position. It is estimated that to start an effective solid waste management program a person will expend a minimum of three to four full days per week, and a large campus may require significantly more time.

Solid Waste Audit
Once the cooperation and commitment of each level is achieved, and a recycling coordinator appointed, the next step is to implement a solid waste audit and analyze the solid waste stream. In other words, become a Sherlock Holmes and discover, in a quantitative way, the amount of each major type of trash in the waste system. An audit could be by volume, by weight, or projection using a similar community. Before any type of trash audit is established, it is necessary to define and develop the gross tons of trash generated by the campus. Such information may be obtained from your contracted waste disposal company, landfill where you haul your waste, or by weighing your vehicles (unloaded and loaded).

An analysis of the trash will be somewhat meaningless if a clear baseline is not established from which to compute percentages.

Having established the gross amount of waste generated, the next step in the audit is to develop an instrument to measure and track what is in the waste stream. A survey tool that measures the major categories of waste should include paper, cardboard, food or organic wastes, metals, glass, plastics, construction waste, etc. Each major category might have subcategories such as paper, which may have categories of white paper, colored paper, computer paper (white), computer paper (green bar), etc. Once the survey instrument is
developed, it is time to start analyzing the trash (see two examples in Figure 1).

One method is to randomly select samples of trash from all types of buildings on campus, and have the waste analyzed by assigned personnel, by sheer volume, which is actually an estimate. If a six cubic yard dumpster is analyzed, what percentage of the waste is paper, plastic, cardboard, etc.? Another method, again using random sampling of all types of buildings, is to select the dumpsters, and then physically set aside a location to pour out the dumpsters and weigh the contents. A caution on doing this is in order. Coordinate this type of activity with your environmental safety/risk management offices; digging through discarded trash could present potential risks, even if protective equipment is worn.

A third method is to mail out a survey to all solid waste generators on campus, and solicit their support in developing percentage estimates of the amount of waste, and the types of waste, flowing through their offices or buildings.

Probably the most realistic method is to use a combination of all methods. The waste audit is the most important process in determining the long-range goals and objectives of an integrated solid waste management program. Failure to clearly define baseline volumes and quantities will make it difficult to proceed into future steps of a recycling program.

Developing Marketability of Recyclable Products

Once the baseline volume or weight is determined, it is easy to then project, using survey results, the approximate amount of major categories of products that might be sold to potential buyers. Initially, it is probably wise to concentrate on the one to three major categories of solid waste generated, that may include paper products, cardboard, plastics, metals, or glass (whichever are specific to your institution).

Work closely with your purchasing department. Buyers may be approached based upon the volume of recyclables available on campus to determine approximate or estimated resale costs and revenue generation projections. It may be economically feasible to sell high-grade office papers and computer paper, but practically impossible to generate any revenue (and possibly significant losses) by selling newspaper or telephone books.

Once the marketability is established, it is time to sit down with the potential buyers and determine the necessary collection modes and points. Will the products be collected by building, by clumps of buildings, or from a mass storage area? Your local vendors will be able to help you with this. Be advised to steer clear, if at all possible, of a sole source environment. Find as many potential buyers as possible and then competitively bid out your products. Much of the marketability, and practicability of marketing the recyclables, will be contingent upon quantities, quality, and location of your institution.

Using your survey results of the types of solid waste generated by your facility will enable you to develop realistic markets. You may be surprised by the accuracy of the results of your survey, as well as your projections for marketability, when all are tabulated and averaged.

A 1990 analysis using a by-volume survey method was conducted on a mid-sized university campus in APPA's Central Region; the results were quite interesting when compared with national norms. According to the survey of this particular campus of 25,000 students, the solid waste stream was 48.9 percent paper, 18.7 percent plastics, 11.3 percent cardboard, 7.7 percent metals, 4.0 percent glass, 7.5 percent organic, and 1.9 percent other. A 1991 scientific study conducted by Natural Geographic indicated that an analysis of solid waste indicates 50 percent is paper, 10 percent is plastic, 1 percent is glass, 13 percent is organic, and 20 percent miscellaneous. In the major categories, especially papers, the by-volume study related both very closely together. (See survey results in Figures 2-5.)

It is important to track the source of each type of solid waste. Obviously, most of the standard office and computer papers will be generated from administrative and academic areas. However, one study indicated that the largest generators of cardboard on a campus were the residence halls, specifically pizza boxes. Much of the metals or organic wastes are found in the food service areas.

By conducting the survey by major categories of waste, and tracking to specific categories of buildings, the recycling coordinator, in conjunction with committees or advisory groups, will be able to make intelligent decisions about where to establish recycling programs, containers, and bulk recycling modules for collection points.
Selecting a Collection System

Many companies would love to sell large universities collection systems that are, usually, quite expensive. The American Paper Institute indicates in its literature that source separation is probably one of the best systems. Each person at a desk or within an office sorts out the recyclable categories, then brings this to a central container on their floor. Many of the successful college systems then have their housekeeping personnel (or the buyer of the products) remove the containers to a loading dock in the building. These containers are then emptied and returned to their original location (by either housekeeping or vendors).

Several universities have interesting case studies. One has contracted their entire recycling of paper to a local concern. The concern provides all the containers free of charge and moves, empties, and replaces these containers, and then pays the university a nominal fee. No expense or labor is incurred by the university under this program. The down side is that the end refund cost for the recycled items is usually low.

In another case, a university uses a student initiated program, collects soda cans and newspapers in specific containers (cardboard containers that are plastic lined for soda cans). The soda cans are collected weekly by the housekeepers and deposited on the loading dock. At a prearranged time, the university delivery system picks up the containers and deposits them in a secured 40-cubic yard covered dumpster that is removed by the local recycling company. The end cents per product is much higher, but there is more university labor involved.

Some other universities have opted to use all student labor (paid at student rates), class projects, or combination of students and regular employees. The key to making any of this successful and workable is having an active and effective recycling coordinator. The students are often energetic; however, after a few years, the classes turn over, and one could be back at square one. The recycling coordinator provides a consistency from year to year and can more easily keep up to date on industry changes.

Probably the best approach is to use the system that costs the least, maximizes the potential for returned dollars, and runs effectively. Each university may have different resource pools to draw from. A combination of several sources will probably increase the potential for long-term success.

Education

It is absolutely critical to develop and initiate an effective education program using student groups, faculty advisors, and staff personnel. As the logistics of analyzing solid waste and developing recycling strategies is in progress, a clearly defined education program should be in progress. Such a program should be directed at both the head and the heart. Solid arguments may be developed from factual literature; however, a fair amount of professional persuasion and public meetings will be necessary.

As mentioned earlier, the arguments for recycling are effective: it is an ethical alternative to polluting the planet, it is a viable alternative since landfills are reaching capacity, it is an economic alternative since it is becoming increasingly costly to dispose of waste, and it is a legal imperative since many states are now legislating that recycling programs be implemented.

Waste Reduction or Minimization

Up to now we have discussed recycling, however, maybe even more important is how to minimize solid waste from entering the waste stream and if it does, how to handle it in an effective manner. Many strategies are available.

For instance, solicit products from vendors while minimizing the amount of packaging involved. Use containers that are shipped in recycled and recyclable plastics. Several companies now have a return program; you ship back the empties (at no cost) while receiving the new shipment. Several janitorial supply companies, rather than shipping items in five-gallon plastic containers, are now shipping items in recyclable five-gallon boxes (exterior) with plastic internal collapsible bladders, some of which can be recycled.

Buy products in concentrate form. A national manufacturer of fabric softener now sells its fabric softener in a concentrated form. It comes in a very small carton, and the purchaser then adds water at home. By using concentrate, containers are minimized, shipping is less, and more storage is available.

In food service areas, move away from the use of plastics, paper, and styrofoam products. Ensure that shipping containers, whenever practical, are made of products that can be recycled. A Canadian company is now marketing eight-ounce individual milk cartons in plastic disposable bladders rather than in waxed cardboard cartons.

In office areas, photocopy on both sides and use scrap paper for writing drafts or memos. The reuse of file fold-
ers (flip over and change dates for following year or relabel), reconditioning toner cartridges, reinking ribbons, or simply using electronic mail may go a long way to reducing waste. A number of recycling publications provide insightful information about how to minimize waste and reduce the amount of potential waste entering the waste stream of an institution.

Waste can also be minimized in areas such as landscaping and grounds by recycling clippings and leaves, chipping or mulching tree limbs, or composting (which may minimize fertilizer purchases). Other areas of your facility can recycle items. Car tires can be used as an alternate energy source in some boilers, as can other forms of solid waste. Car oils and batteries can be recycled and kept from the waste stream. Several recycling companies are now recycling pallets.

Indeed, an integrated solid waste management program starts at the preprocurement stage and follows through to the final recycling and disposal of the end product. One newspaper company recycles its expended newprint by loading the unused papers into a rail freight car. The rail freight car is then returned to the mill. Based upon a prearranged plan, the mill provides the newspaper company with rolls of free newprint. The possibilities are nearly limitless.

Community Participation
The university community is a microcosm of the larger community in which it exists, works, and plays. A successful university recycling program will work closely with community leaders and agencies. A case in point is a university in Indiana that opened up its recycling boats to members of the community. The boat is a 40-cubic foot dumpster on wheels, with segregated areas that are caged (to avoid pilferage) and provide drop off points for glass (clear and colored), cans, and other forms of containers. The boats have doors to keep products dry and free from the weather. This program has proven to be a community success. A boat is on campus for part of the campus and community program, and boats are located throughout the local community for use by all members of the community. The university directly benefits from the income generated by the boat on campus.

Distractors
Paradigms have been mentioned on several occasions, and there are several philosophies that can detract from a potential recycling program. These distractors or distractors need to be overcome, because solid waste management and recycling is an imperative for the 1990s. Such distractors may include arguments that recycling will cost money and human resources. This is true, but the alternative is that if something is not done soon, it will cost more money in the long run. If you decide to do nothing for now, what are you going to do when your local landfill closes (because your school was one of the institutions that filled it up in the first place)? Or if your dumping fees that might have been $20-$30 a ton are now $100 or more per ton because you have to ship the waste 100 miles?

Many states have chosen to implement legislation requiring purchasing goals and recycling goals, and many more have pending legislation. These rules, rather than getting easier, will become more restrictive. Those organizations that have started early in the process will be well ahead when legislation is enacted. The time to set up your program is now, while the opportunity exists to conduct a thorough examination of your alternatives, to develop an effective waste collection system, to educate personnel, and to implement a solid waste minimization program that is proactive rather than reactive.

Conclusion
Many schools and universities have started the pathway to professional solid waste management programs. Many stellar examples come to mind including, but not limited to the University of Colorado in Boulder, Cornell University, Dartmouth College, University of Illinois/Chicago, University of Minnesota, University of Missouri/Columbia, University of Nebraska/Lincoln, University of Notre Dame, University of Wisconsin/Parkside, and Washington State University.

One of the professional enrichment advantages afforded by an organization such as APPA is the ability to network with true professionals. Universities and colleges have a unique opportunity to be in the forefront of solid waste management. The imperative for such programs has been established by economics, legislation, environmental concerns, and ethical issues.

The facilities management organization is in a critical position to enhance the image of the institution and to be on the cutting edge of change in the handling of solid waste into the year 2000 and beyond!
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<td>Book Review: “Productivity in Organizations,” rev. by Tom Sichko</td>
<td>Summer 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future History (Data Base Update), by Howard Millman</td>
<td>Winter 24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saving Space and Time With Form Generators (Data Base Update), by Howard Millman</td>
<td>Fall 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Indicators in Facilities Management, by William J. Humble</td>
<td>Spring 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance Management for the 1990s, by James E. Christensen</td>
<td>Spring 28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and Maintenance: Challenges and Opportunities, by Mohammad H. Quaema</td>
<td>Summer 33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Chief Revisited (Data Base Update), by Howard Millman</td>
<td>Summer 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book Review: “Artificial Intelligence Applications in Engineering,” rev. by Eugene A. Simko</td>
<td>Summer 45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management Issues</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee Assistance Programs (Focus on Management), by Sigmund G. Ginsburg</td>
<td>Winter 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**IT'S A SNAP!** 3 easy steps to cut steam loss with... **QUIK-FIX™**

1. **Remove old cover and worn trap part.**
2. **Replace old seat with Quik-Fix adaptor and snap element in place.**
3. **Replace original cover.**

Quik-Fix, the fast econoical way to repairailing radiator steam traps.

A Quik-Fix kit upgrades old traps and adapts to most existing traps. Replacement parts are all stainless steel construction for long life. Quik-Fix simplifies and makes it easy to standardize inventory. A 3-year warranty on the kit is offered.

Call, write or fax for additional information.

**ILLINOIS QUIK-FIX**

NICHOLSON Steam Trap, Inc.
12 Oregon Street • P.O. Box 113
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18773
Phone: 717/824-8701 • Fax: 717/825-3183

---

**FACILITY MANAGEMENT COMPUTER CONSULTANT**

Purchasing or upgrading a computerized maintenance management system? I can help assure that you receive all the timesaving, headache-relieving benefits that a well-designed, practical system will deliver.

Select the per diem services you need: asset cataloging, software assessments, staff sentiment surveys, bid specifications, hardware recommendations, software installation, data base creation, training, or system maintenance.

Please call for details. No obligation, just cost-effective, time-tested solutions that will work for you.

Howard Millman
Data Systems Services
914/271-6883
Book Review: "Groups That Work (and Those That Don't)," rev. by R.A. Jackson
Winter 26

Book Review: "High-Involvement Management," rev. by Tom Sichko
Winter 27

Book Review: "Managing Change in Higher Education"
Spring 10

Spring 33

Book Review: "Internal and External Factors in Strategic Planning (Focus on Management)," by Sigmund G. Ginsburg
Summer 11

Job Descriptions: An Essential Management Tool, by John D. Rufis & Sherry L. Rufis
Summer 12

Summer 46

Nobody's Perfect (Focus on Management), by Sigmund G. Ginsburg
Fall 11

Reviewing APPA's Facilities Management Evaluation Program, by Steve Glazner
Fall 18

Award Winners in '91: Delivering the Best in Services, by Brooks C. Stoddard
Fall 25

Planning, Design, and Construction

Stewardship of Seminary Facilities: Planning for the Future, by Daniel P. Conway
Spring 16

Spring 33

Mod Five: Planning, Promoting, and Building a Central Chiller Plant, by James A. Wargo
Fall 33

Recycling

Recycling ideas at Arizona State University, University of Wisconsin/Stevers Point, University of Guelph, Miami University, Stetson University, & Pennsylvania State University/Harrisburg (Resource Management), by Stephanie Gretchen
Summer 37

Security

Fall 46

Training

Winter 27

Summer 18

Training ideas at University of Idaho & Central Michigan University (Resource Management), by Stephanie Gretchen
Summer 38

Book Review: "Productivity in Organizations," rev. by Tom Sichko
Summer 44

Summer 48

Underground Storage Tanks

The Environment, by Stephanie Gretchen
Winter 7

The Environment, by Stephanie Gretchen
Fall 7

AUTHORS

Barach, Jerry. "The Hebrew University of Jerusalem"
Fall 42

Brawley, Irvin. Book review of Lawns
Fall 48

Casey, John M. Book review of Managing Change in Higher Education
Summer 46

— Book reviews of Managing Indoor Air Quality and Environmental Quality in Offices
Fall 46

Christenson, James E. "Maintenance Management for the 1990's"
Spring 28

Cimino, Nick. Book review of Managing the Training Enterprise
Summer 48

Conway, Daniel P. "Stewardship of Seminary Facilities: Planning for the Future"
Spring 16

Cotler, Stephen R. "Campus Accessibility: A Summary of ADA"
Spring 13

Delaney, Stephen L. Book review of The Cynical American
Winter 28

Estill, Joe J. "From the President-Elect: Looking to the Future"
Winter 5

Farnham, Kerry. Book review of Strategic Planning and Energy Management
Fall 48

Fenton, Kate. Book review of The Economics of Building
Spring 33

— Book review of Crimes and Transgressions on College/University Campuses 1988-1989
Fall 46

Ginsburg, Sigmund G. "Employee Assistance Programs"
Winter 8

— "Current and Future Challenges in Human Resource Management"
Spring 10

— "Internal and External Factors in Strategic Planning"
Summer 11

— "Nobody's Perfect!"
Fall 11

Glazner, Steve. "1990 Index, Facilities Management, Volume 6"
Winter 33

— Reviewing APPA's Facilities Management Evaluation Program
Fall 18

Gretchen, Stephanie. "The Environment"
Winter 7

— Attaining Facilities Equilibrium: The Institute for Facilities Finance"
Winter 16

— "The Environment"
Spring 9

— "The Environment"
Summer 7

— Recycling ideas at Arizona State University, University of Wisconsin/Stevers Point, University of Guelph, Miami University, Stetson University, & Pennsylvania State University/Harrisburg (Resource Management), by Stephanie Gretchen
Summer 37

Humble, William J. "Performance Indicators in Facilities Management"
Spring 20

Jackson, R.A. Book review of Groups That Work (and Those That Don't)
Winter 25

Jenkins, Charles W. "From the Vice President for Professional Affairs"
Fall 3

Millman, Howard. "Future History"
Winter 24

— "Finding a Computer Consultant"
Spring 32

— "The Chief Revisited"
Summer 42
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Pages</th>
<th>ISBN</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Saving Space and Time With Form Generators&quot;</td>
<td>M'pelo Worrell, Wilma (with W.G. Suter Jr.)</td>
<td>Fall 44</td>
<td>0-913359-52-1</td>
<td>$22 APPA member institutions; $30 all others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Staff Development and Employee Training: A Consortium Approach&quot;</td>
<td>Moore, April</td>
<td>Summer 18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Campus Environmental Crisis: Part 4, The Hazardous Waste Challenge</td>
<td>Qayoumi, Mohammad H.</td>
<td>Winter 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environment and Maintenance: Challenges and Opportunities'</td>
<td>Ragusa, Sam</td>
<td>Summer 33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Designing for Energy Management: Award Winning Techniques&quot;</td>
<td>Rulfs, John D. (with S.L. Rulfs)</td>
<td>Winter 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Descriptions: An Essential Management Tool&quot;</td>
<td>Rulfs, Sherry L. (with J.D. Rulfs)</td>
<td>Summer 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Facility Financing: Near-Term Options&quot;</td>
<td>Scanley, Anne</td>
<td>Summer 24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Trends Found in Membership Titles&quot;</td>
<td>Schaw, Walter A.</td>
<td>Spring 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Legacy and Language&quot;</td>
<td>Scaw, Walter A.</td>
<td>Spring 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book review of Managing the Nonprofit Organization</td>
<td>Schneller, Paul</td>
<td>Spring 33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delivering Quality Service</td>
<td>Sheahen, David</td>
<td>Summer 44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book review of Getting Disputes Resolved</td>
<td>Sichko, Tom</td>
<td>Winter 44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book review of Productivity in Organizations</td>
<td>Silverman, Robert A.</td>
<td>Winter 27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book review of High-Involvement Management</td>
<td>Simko, Eugene A.</td>
<td>Spring 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Physical Asset Legacy</td>
<td>Stephenson, Denis.</td>
<td>Summer 45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;La Trobe University&quot;</td>
<td>Stoddard, Brooke C.</td>
<td>Summer 40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Award Winners in '91: Delivering the Best in Services&quot;</td>
<td>Suter, William G. Jr. (with W. M'pelo Worrell)</td>
<td>Fall 25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Eastern Region Meeting Highlights&quot;</td>
<td>Trexler, Carol</td>
<td>Winter 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Comparative Costs Report Designed for Easier Use&quot;</td>
<td>van der Have, Pieter J.</td>
<td>Winter 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Mod Five: Planning, Promoting, and Building a Central Chiller Plant&quot;</td>
<td>Wargo, James A.</td>
<td>Fall 33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Reviewing the Current Legislative Programs&quot;</td>
<td>Wiesner, Donna</td>
<td>Summer 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone Aggregators, Student Right-To-Know, Heroes for Hire, Agency Addresses</td>
<td>Zack-Bordeaux, Christina</td>
<td>Fall 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APPA for Tomorrow: A Profile of Joe J. Estill Jr.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fall 12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contents:**
- Hazardous and Solid Waste
- Clean Air
- Water Quality
- Underground Storage Tanks
- Toxic Substances
- Right-to-Know and Hazard Communication
- Medical Waste
- Additional Topics
  - Low-Level Radioactive Waste
  - Drug-Free Workplace
  - Animal Welfare

Includes summaries of regulations, contact numbers for more information, sample forms, and bibliography. Foreword by Sheldon E. Steinbach, American Council on Education.

**To Order:**
Add $8 for shipping and handling. All orders must be prepaid; all international orders must be prepaid in U.S. funds (add $12 for shipping and handling). Allow 3-4 weeks for delivery. Inquire about quantity order discounts.

Send orders to APPA Publications, Dept. RC, P.O. Box 1201, Alexandria, Virginia 22313. Telephone orders will not be accepted.
APPAN offers educational/training programs throughout the year. A brief explanation of our training programs for 1991-92 follows:

**Executive Training Programs**—Designed for senior facilities administrators, these programs focus on developing skills needed to play an effective role in the leadership of higher education institutions. Subjects include: management skills, budgeting, long-range planning and institutional policy-making. Programs include: Executive Development Institute and Institute for Facilities Finance.

**Seminars**—Short educational programs which focus on a single subject. Topics may focus on current issues or general training.

**Annual Meeting**—This meeting features educational sessions, exhibits and social activities directed toward middle- and senior-level facilities managers. Highlights include the Keynote Speakers and the Critical Issues in Higher Education series.

**Institute for Facilities Management**—A week-long training program designed to benefit all levels of management. The Institute offers three successive and parallel program tracks that provide a comprehensive education in facilities management and operations. The program is offered every January and August.

---

**1992 Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 13-14</td>
<td>ADA Facilities Compliance Seminar</td>
<td>Los Angeles, California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 19-24</td>
<td>Institute for Facilities Management</td>
<td>San Antonio, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 30-31</td>
<td>Custodial Staffing and Guidelines</td>
<td>San Antonio, Texas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February 27-28</td>
<td>Environmental Compliance Seminar</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 29-</td>
<td>Executive Development Institute</td>
<td>South Bend, Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 26-29</td>
<td>79th Annual Meeting</td>
<td>Indianapolis, Indiana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August 23-28</td>
<td>Institute for Facilities Management</td>
<td>Boston, Massachusetts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Welcome to APPA Answers, the newest column in Facilities Manager; you will see more of it in the issues yet to come. APPA Answers will appear quarterly and will keep you up-to-date on what's going on in APPA's Information Services Department. There will be follow-ups on general topics, statistics on different criteria, and much more.

The International Experience Exchange, the foundation of APPA's information service effort, is a free service to APPA member institutions. All you need to do is call or write us with your request, and we will respond as quickly and completely as possible.

The idea for APPA's International Experience Exchange started in the early 1980s. There was a need for a centralized information system to serve as a way to enhance communications among members. By the mid-1980s a survey was developed and mailed to all members. This survey asked members to identify about eighty areas of physical plant responsibilities and operations within their institutions. More than 400 colleges and universities responded.

During the late 1980s the number of APPA members grew, as did APPA's programs and services. Currently, more than 1,000 institutions are on the system, reporting on more than 150 topics within facilities management and operations.

The major topic categories on the International Experience Exchange data base include the following:

- **Physical Plant Responsibilities**—includes contracted or self-provided custodial, grounds, trades, building, maintenance, facility audits, environmental compliance, fleet, parking, purchasing, pest control, and more.
- **Construction and Planning Responsibilities**—includes master planning, building planning, plans and specs for projects under and/or more than $100,000, minor construction, roads and walkways, commissioning, and more.
- **Classification of Buildings**—includes comprehensive campus facility list of existing buildings, new construction, and recent renovations.
- **Utilities Sources**—includes type of heating fuel used, district service, electrical, water, cogeneration, and telecommunications.
- **Employee Training**—includes programs for custodial, grounds, trades, supervisors, security, asbestos removal, and more.
- **Employee Morale/Recognition**—includes plant department newsletters, employee recognition and term-of-service programs, and tuition support for professional development activities.
- **Computerization**—includes PC, mini, or mainframe applications for accounting/billing, personnel, work order control, energy management, preventive maintenance, equipment and facilities inventory, and more.

The data base allows members to communicate with each other. While we hope to be accessible on-line via modem someday, the beauty of the current system is that you can get expert advice right away on a problem, and you can get it from someone who has been in the same situation as yourself. We will provide you with a printout of institutions with similar needs and responsibilities, including a list of your colleagues who can understand and relate to your situation. The Experience Exchange data base is designed to put you in touch with an individual who can help.

**Using the Data Base**

Let's take an example. First, you call APPA's Information Services Hotline (703/684-4338) to request information on starting a trash recycling program...
at your institution. The first four questions that probably came to your mind are what, where, when, and how. With our database you will learn that many institutions just like yours have already answered those questions. We can provide you with a printout—queried by FTE, Carnegie classification, state, APPA region, or many other categories—of those institutions that indicated on the survey that they currently operate a trash recycling program.

But Information Services does not stop with the Experience Exchange. We also provide you with as much information possible by using APPA’s other departments. From the Publications Department we will scan our bibliography to find books or chapters within books that may have additional information on recycling. We’ll also search the indexes of Facilities Manager and APPA Newsletter for articles that have appeared on the subject. Citations of other published information will be provided to you for further research.

Then we move on to the Educational Programs Department for a look at any upcoming institutes, seminars, or workshops that may cover that topic as well. We also provide you with a list of other related organizations and associations that may be of some help.

There may be an occasion when the information you request is not included in the Experience Exchange database or through our other sources. We would then use APPA Newsletter or this column to appeal to readers who may have information on your topic; we ask to be copied on such correspondence so that we can follow-up ourselves as well as keep our files up-to-date.

A recent example involves a member requesting information on four-day work weeks. After searching our database and other sources, I found we did not have any information on this topic, or on the use of flextime. By using APPA Newsletter, I was able to request the help of other institutions that may have some type of flextime program in operation. The response was great, and the member who requested this information was very pleased. He was able to contact the institutions directly to get of more in-depth assistance.

Remember that Information Services is a free service to APPA member institutions. If your institution or organization is not a member, we urge you to contact APPA’s Membership Department for more information. We look forward to responding to your information requests, and I look forward to sharing this with you in the next APPA Answers column.

---

**Most-Requested Topics of Recent Calls to Information Services**

1. Contracting for services (especially construction bid specs).
2. Emergency awareness/disaster planning.
3. Space management.
4. Recently-built or renovated classrooms, libraries, and athletic facilities.
5. Recycling.

---

**Why re-invent the wheel when it’s already been done for you!**

Over the years the Physical Plant Department at Virginia Tech has developed a unique series of contract documents which have helped procure high quality and cost effective construction, services, and materials critical to the care, maintenance, repair, and renovation of the university.

Set of 5 Volumes: $350
Each: $85

Available both individually or as a set:

- **Grounds Services Contracts** (includes Excavating, Mowing, Trash Disposal, Dining Hall Garbage Disposal, and Moving Services)
- **Equipment Maintenance Contracts** (includes Elevator Maintenance, Elevator Inspection, Furnace and Boiler Maintenance, HVAC&R Maintenance, Mechanical Services, and Electrical Services)
- **Building Services Contracts** (includes Custodial Services, Pest Control, Carpet Cleaning, and Window Cleaning)
- **Construction Services Contracts** (includes Concrete, Masonry, Carpentry, Drywall, Ceiling Tile, and Floor Tile)
- **Materials Procurement Contracts** (includes General Building Materials & Hardware, Plumbing & Heating, Electrical, Ready-Mix Concrete, Crushed Stone, and Masonry Supplies)

These contract documents are complete! They include the technical specifications, terms and conditions, contractor qualifications, personnel requirements, quality of materials, and standards of workmanship as required for each contract.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION OR TO ORDER:
(703)231-7536
Physical Plant Publications, 64 Maintenance Bldg., Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA 24061
GRIFFITH UNIVERSITY

Griffith University is located in Brisbane, which is the capital and business center of the state of Queensland. A city of 1.3 million people, Brisbane has a subtropical climate with warm summer days tempered by afternoon sea breezes and occasional thunderstorms. The average temperature ranges between 29°C (84°F) during the summer and 9°C (48°F) during the crisp, sunny winter. Because of the hot, humid summer climate, the majority of teaching buildings are air conditioned; however, very little winter heating is required.

Griffith University is a state university funded by the Australian government and has an annual operating budget of $75 million. There are 12,300 students enrolled at Griffith University in a variety of undergraduate and postgraduate courses. A characteristic of research and teaching at the university is its multidisciplinary approach to problem solving. Griffith University has recognized research strengths in a broad array of subject areas, including Australia-Asia relations, Australian public sector management, applied environmental research, cultural policy studies, and molecular biology and biotechnology.

The university was established on a 175-hectare (432-acre) bushland site at Nathan in 1971. Academic and physical planning began in that year, and teaching commenced in 1975. In 1990, the nearby Mt. Gravatt Campus (originally Mt. Gravatt Teachers College) amalgamated with the university. Construction on the 42-hectare (105-acre) site at Mt. Gravatt began in 1968, and teaching on that campus began in 1969.

Both campuses feature modern, well-designed buildings, principally constructed in white or off-white concrete or concrete masonry. Highest priority has been given to building finishes that minimize maintenance. Load bearing walls within buildings have been minimized to retain flexibility in partitioning. The university has twenty-seven major buildings on two campuses and a building gross floor area of 120,000 square meters (1.3 million gsf). The oldest building is a five-level social services building constructed at Mt. Gravatt in 1969. The majority of buildings are three levels. Major buildings include six science buildings, four teacher education buildings, and three central lecture complexes. The university also provides on-site residential accommodations for 1,000 students in both private study rooms and apartments.

Preservation of the natural landscape and replanting of the native flora are key features of the university's landscaping policy. Both campuses adjoin Toohey Forest, a 650-hectare (1,610-acre) area of remnant native Australian bushland. Buildings have been constructed with minimum disruption to the bush setting. The concept of native bush and buildings in close proximity has produced a uniquely Australian university campus that observes sound ecological principles and design techniques.

The major energy source at Griffith University is electricity; at the Northern Campus, high voltage electrical power is distributed through an 11,000-volt underground twin ring main system. A Honeywell central control and monitoring system was installed in 1977, the first in any Australian university. All major buildings are connected to this system, which has achieved substantial savings in energy costs. The university has an energy management committee that monitors energy consumption and conducts energy awareness publicity campaigns.

Griffith University has twice won the prestigious National Energy Management Award, in 1985 and again in 1988. The university has received eleven awards for design and construction of its buildings, its landscape, and its civic design.

The facilities division currently employs 120 staff including architects, landscape architects, civil, electrical, and mechanical engineers, as well as a variety of trade, security, cleaning, gardening, and administrative staff. The division is headed by the manager, facilities, who reports directly to the vice-chancellor (chief executive officer).

As a facilities manager, my main interest at present is in the development of an Australian system for space planning and management. Since 1989, I have been a member of a federal government working party established to develop a system of space planning and management for higher education. I presented a paper on this work at the 1990 APPA annual meeting in Ottawa.
HOUSING ASSIGNMENTS DRIVE COMPUTER CRAZY

Better the computer than you. Tracking hundreds, often thousands, of new and returning students while trying to honor their living preferences ("I only wanna a roommate from Brooklyn"), gender requirements (male, female, either, or neutered), and meal plans (three-a-day, ten-per-week, non-stop), linen options, and varying room rates will turn your remaining strands of hair gray.

If you have responsibility for managing student/faculty housing, American Collegiate Systems provides an integrated set of seven software tools you may want to know more about. Why? Because ACS's Housing Information System (a division of Griffin Technologies) competently manages most of the brain-numbing data-churning typical of university housing management.

In response to a request from a client to evaluate ACS's housing software, I met with Steve Crozier, ACS's founder, lead programmer, and technical evangelist at Griffin Technology's Rochester, New York office. Steve ran the program through its paces, demonstrating its many attributes and even its few limitations.

Each of ACS's seven smoothly integrated modules targets one aspect of housing services.

- Facilities and Residents: This required core module contains the data base of your buildings and students (current and past), maintains room assignments, generates assignment letters (linking with your existing word processing software), and prints occupancy reports and histories.

- Automated Assignments: Remember the computer dating services? Applicants answered a bunch of questions describing their preferences, finances, and traits. The computer, doing what it does best, categorized and compared the data and matched people with like-minded companions. Automated Assignments provides a similar matching service. It collects data sent in by hundreds of housing applicants, compares requests to the available housing, and makes recommendations. It does much more, too. Suppose the initial match of roommates or building proves unsuccessful, as often happens. A/A will quickly changing room assignments while maintaining an audit trail. It also tracks unassigned rooms and beds.

As a final gesture of goodwill, A/A generates letters to incoming residents, welcoming them and providing the details of their housing accommodations. A true sanity saver.

- Accounts Receivable: This maintains current records of resident housing accounts. You establish charge codes and design a statement format that suits your needs. It also prints fully detailed statements including security deposits.

Howard Millman is an author, lecturer, and consultant for facilities management and computer issues. Based in Cedon, New York, he helps universities and hospitals plan and install facility management computer systems.
With this module's help you'll become a ledger in your own lifetime (sorry, couldn't resist).

- Automated Billing: This trims the task of assessing the cost of basic and optional services provided to each resident. Typical categories include linen service, type of meal plan, and varying room rates.
- Room Service and Billing: Records the presence and condition of furniture and residential equipment as well as the condition of the physical space. Residents responsible for missing inventory or damages will receive documented evidence with their bill for repairs/replacement. Housing staff can collect inventory data with bar code readers and later transfer it into the program.
- Conference Services: Essentially, this is a super-duty information manager and event planner. This module automates the endless details involved in booking and operating (revenue generating) on-campus conferences. It provides full financial tracking, schedules, registration, coordinating of onsite accommodations, as well as a detailed recap after the event.
- Conference Services: Essentially, this is a super-duty information manager and event planner. This module automates the endless details involved in booking and operating (revenue generating) on-campus conferences. It provides full financial tracking, schedules, registration, coordinating of onsite accommodations, as well as a detailed recap after the event.
- Conference Services: Essentially, this is a super-duty information manager and event planner. This module automates the endless details involved in booking and operating (revenue generating) on-campus conferences. It provides full financial tracking, schedules, registration, coordinating of onsite accommodations, as well as a detailed recap after the event.

Prices for ACS's modules range from about $10,000 for the single Facilities and Residents core module (required by all the other modules except Maintenance/Inventory). The cost for additional modules ranges from $4,000 for Automated Billing to $11,000 for Automated Assignments. These prices are approximate; they depend on the amount of training required, the number of online users and workstations, and the total number of modules purchased.

Maintenance and Inventory
As an adjunct to the other Housing Information System modules, Maintenance/Inventory provides a reasonable degree of maintenance tracking and data storage. Since it's fully integrated, it transparently exchanges information with all the other modules. Newer than the other modules, it came online in 1989.

ACS's module delivers a competent set of features to expedite tracking of routine repairs and preventive maintenance functions. It's simple-to-stand menu systems combined with its straightforward data entry screens make it a worthwhile contender for managing fundamental maintenance demands.

For all its advantages, however, comparing ACS's maintenance module to established powerhouse such as Titan's IMS, DFM's Mapcon, Maintenance Automation's Chief, and Kurtz & Steel's MASC is unfair. Although comparably priced to dedicated, standalone computerized maintenance applications, the value of ACS's maintenance module is in its ease of use and integration with the other modules.

To match the depth of sophisticated features available from other fourth generation language (4GL) data bases, ACS's maintenance module requires a significant infusion of additional features. For example, it needs greater flexibility in designing the freeform, ID, and record fields in the onscreen and printed data forms. It also needs links to predictive and energy management add-ins, hot keyed RAM resident pop up screens, async communication modules, bar code readers, and some graphics, spreadsheet, as well as word processing links. Managing all of the new, powerful features will also necessitate a context sensitive, customizable online help system.

Steve Crozier predicts ACS's maintenance module will, in time, contain the missing features and stand toe to toe with the hundreds of established applications. Purchasers of the present module will receive the updates as they become available.

Following the advice I offered my client, if you want a suite of housing management applications, take a long look at the Housing Information System. Yes, I wish they were a bit less costly and executed faster, but they definitely do the job. If you want full-featured, powerful, and expandable maintenance software, then look into dedicated data bases such as Titan, DFM, Maintenance Logic System, Maintenance Automation, and Kurtz & Steel. If you want both, contract with a competent independent programmer to design an interface for data exchange between the applications and have the best of both worlds.

So what if your computer talks to itself.
Strategic Planning for Energy and the Environment

This 79-page book is a collection of nine articles dealing with various energy and environmental issues. The editor expresses the need for viewing energy and environment as two different but highly coupled elements of the same challenge.

The first article is an abstracted version of a World Watch Institute concerning a worldwide strategy for slowing global warming. It contains valuable information on the portfolio of world energy usage, the need for improving energy efficiency, today's realities regarding nuclear energy, and the major impact of renewable energy sources. The theme of the article demonstrates that a comprehensive energy plan must be based on energy efficiency and further development of renewable energy sources. In an effort to prove this point, valuable statistics are cited.

The second article is by Amery Loving, the research director at Rocky Mountain Institute. He emphasizes improving energy efficiency as the most economically feasible alternative in attacking the greenhouse effect.

The third article projects a world energy scenario for the year 2010 using the analytical hierarchy process (AHP). This is the most technical and by far the most comprehensive paper in the book. The results of the study are astonishing. For instance, in 1987, 86.4 percent of the world's energy mix (134 MB/D oil equivalent) was based on fossil fuel while in 2010, 68 percent (170 MB/D oil equivalent) is based on fossil fuel. This means, if serious effort is not given to renewable resources and energy efficiency, the survival of our civilization will be at stake.

The second half of the book contains case studies about specific energy projects. There is a review of current technologies in lighting retrofit projects where various new products and analytical techniques are discussed with a reasonable level of specificity. Another is a case study on how energy management systems can be justified in new construction projects. The balance of the book contains short articles that address various energy-related issues in a very cursory manner.

Generally speaking, the book contains two types of articles. The first are looking at the global issues and the latter are very specific situations. Except for some valuable statistics, the book hardly contains any new information for facilities managers. Most of the information has been presented in the popular press during the past two years. I recommend the book only for new facilities managers or those who have a keen interest in global energy statistics. Energy and environment is the most complex challenge of 1990. But, unfortunately, the book's content and brevity fall short of what the title conveys.

This book is available from Strategic Planning for Energy and the Environment Journal, Department 378, P.O. Box 1126, Lilburn, GA 30026.

Mohammad Qayouni
Associate Executive Vice President
Facilities Development and Operations
San Jose State University
San Jose, California

Building Teams


I tried to find some utility in this book for the average physical plant manager. I read some sections three times, and still could not find the ore such mining deserved. The book purports to be an "Action Guide and Resource." It fails! The author frequently presents lists of related items without explanation and without a particular goal in mind. Mystery may chance a novel; it is not appropriate to a teaching text as this aspires to be. The reader should not have to guess the lesson. It should be put before him or her clearly.

Instead, we have, for example, the story in chapter one where some machines would not run because nobody bought gasoline. While the "team" members were bickering and finger-pointing about whose job it was, and why it wasn't theirs, someone who was definitely not the one responsible left the meeting, bought a can of gasoline, returned and plunked the can on the middle of the boardroom table. Varney then tells us "this" demonstrates how poor teamwork can lead to "ugly and counterproductive situations."

What demonstrates the poor teamwork: the plunking down of the gas can? The failure to purchase gasoline? The failure of the leader to assign responsibility? The way the meeting was held? The problem of an organization where gasoline purchasing becomes a senior management team problem? The feelings of the responsible party when someone (whose job it was not) did it anyway?

Varney never tells us! He does not explain this example; he does not elaborate on his conclusion; and he never provides us with the means to correctly interpret his puzzle.

Until chapter ten, discussing. He seems to touch lightly on many issues, then dances away without grasping them firmly and dealing with them. Varney has a peremptory way of stating his perception of fact, then moving on.

As an example of this, in chapter seven, Improving Team Member Relationships, Varney states: "Typical manifestations of interpersonal incompetence include restricted commitment, gamesmanship, and deceptive and out-of-character behavior." Varney does not explain each of these elements. He does not support these claims with evidence or argument. He simply drops the sentence and moves on as if his statement has prima facie validity.

These are not self-evident propositions to me. "Restricted commitment" could be the result of a team member's mandate from his or her superior, and not the result of incompetence.

In 1976, Michael Maccoby wrote a best-seller, The Gamen, in which he concludes that the most successful managers of those studied were those he calls gamesmen. The concept of gamesmanship is not at all universal, and Varney has an obligation to make his particular meaning clear.

In the last phrase, there is no obvious connection between "deception" and "out of character." Deceptive behavior may be entirely in character, and the uncharacteristic behavior may be honesty. Out-of-character behavior may be a sign of increasing interpersonal competence, if a manager who habitually flies into rages starts controlling his temper at meetings.

Throughout the book, it is difficult to determine if Varney is being descriptive or prescriptive. We should not have to guess whether he is telling us what frequently happens, or telling us what we should do when it does happen.

It is my feeling that a writer should only puzzle his reader by design and for effect. The writer should also remove the puzzle before the end of the book. Varney's poor writing just makes me work too hard trying to determine what he is trying to say. The results are not worth the effort.

If you want to learn about teams, buy some other book.

Building Productive Teams is available from Jossey-Bass Publishers, Inc., 350 Sansome Street, San Francisco, CA 94110.
Management


The explicit purpose of this book is "to prepare men and women for the exciting, challenging, and rewarding career of managing in the 1990s."

The science and practice of management is thoroughly explored and supported by examples, definitions, reviews, and case studies.

The mythology of management is the belief that a solid employee off the line is a good bet to become an efficient manager. Is it not always so.

A good manager should be an organized, energetic person, with good human relations skills, who has a feel for budgets and who can tolerate lots of meetings.

Newcomers, aspiring managers, as well as managerial staff, can benefit from this very well organized textbook. It is well planned and follows a logical sequence in the presentation of information.

A systems model, shown on the inside cover and used throughout the book, integrates the five essential managerial functions: planning, organizing, staffing, leading, and controlling. Each of these functions is documented separately: "Management is the process of designing and maintaining an environment in which individuals, working together in groups, efficiently accomplish selected aims" is the definition given in the book.

Top-level managers spend more time on planning and organizing, while first-line managers spend more than 50 percent of their time managing. In general, four kinds of skills are required from administrators in order to be successful problem solvers. These skills are technical, human, conceptual, and design.

The various approaches to management analysis indicate that the management theory and science are based on a core of basic sciences and theories; these are simply presented in the pie diagram. Basic management is part of an eclectic science and theory. The summary to chapter one states: "Managing as practice is art; organized knowledge about management is science. The development of management theory involves the development of concepts, principles, and techniques."

Chapter two discusses the relationship between management and society with respect to social responsibility and ethics. "Ethics deals with what is good and bad, and with moral duty and obligation. There are three moral theories in normative ethics: the utilitarian theory, the theory based on rights, and the theory of justice."

The nature and purpose of planning, objectives, strategies, and decision making are the four chapter components of the second part, Planning. Throughout the chapter the authors insist on the direct relation between planning and the selected objective. "The more thoroughly individuals charged with planning understand and agree to utilize consistent planning premises, the more coordinated enterprise planning will be." In all instances, planning requires decision making and must be interrelated with controlling. "Planning is looking ahead, and control is looking back."

In chapter four, the emphasis is on verifiable objectives. "Clear and verifiable objectives facilitate measurement of the surplus as well as the effectiveness and efficiency of managerial actions."

Management by objectives (MBO) is clearly and simply explained, outlining its weaknesses, especially with respect to self-control and self-direction.

"Strategies, Policies, and Planning Premises" and "Decision Making" form the last two chapters of Planning. The discussions, exercises, and reference are relevant, conclusive, and easy to follow.

Part 3 follows with the topic of organizing. "Although the science of organizing has not yet developed to the point at which its principles are infallible laws, there is considerable agreement among management scholars and practitioners about a number of them." Each of the four chapters that constitute this part are applications of this statement. "There is no single, best way to organize; the most appropriate pattern depends on various factors in a given situation."

The managerial function of staffing is presented in Part 4, which includes human resources management and selection, performance appraisal and career strategy, and manager and organizational development.

SAFETY PROGRAM

INFORMATION REQUESTED

Georgia Southern University is in the process of revising the work safety program for its physical plant employees as well as reviewing procedures for the testing of fire protection systems for residence halls and other campus buildings. We would appreciate any information on safety procedures currently practiced by various colleges and universities to facilitate our revision. Please direct information to Marsha S. DeLoach, Physical Plant, Georgia Southern University, Landrum Box 8012, Statesboro, Georgia 30460-8012; 912/681-5752; fax 912/681-0324.
The responsibility for filling and keeping filled various positions within the organization is the primary function of a manager. However, performance appraisal, verifiable objectives, and career strategy are also essential to proper management. Management and the human factor is the topic that introduces Part 3 entitled Leading. It includes three more chapters of great interest: motivation, leadership, and communication.

"The managerial function of leading is defined as the process of influencing people so that they will contribute to organization and group goals." Managers are required to understand the role assumed by employees, the individuality of people, and the personality of each individual. Rational or emotional, behavioristic or phenomenological, economic or self-actualizing are some of the behavioral models of human nature that must be considered by managerial staff.

Guiding employees' activities in required directions to the best of the manager's ability represents the leading process and motivation of people. This topic is presented in chapter fifteen. Maslow's five steps of hierarchy are used to explain basic human needs and reasons for motivation. There are several theories in this regard, but Maslow is easy to follow with his step-by-step, simplistic approach. The "art of leadership" and its two main concerns, the final achievement, and people are presented in chapter sixteen. Managerial successes are determined by his or her leadership style.

The next chapter outlines the communication process, giving guidelines for improvement, for understanding and for eliminating barriers and breakdowns. The managerial function of controlling, its measurement, and correction of performance are closely related to the planning process. These are the two blades without which a pair of scissors cannot work. It includes establishing standards, measuring performance against those standards, as well as correction of deviations.

Although the basic nature and objectives of management control do not change over the years, a large number of techniques and tools were used, as outlined in chapter thirteen, Control Techniques and Information Technology. Budgetary and non-budgetary control devices, as well as time-event analyses or information technology, including the computer, are analyzed.

Productivity and operation management, as well as overall and preventive control are the topics presented in the following two chapters.

In recent years, there has been a great deal of interest in the science and applied science of management. This book sets up to identify the major issues, to outline the results, and to suggest future investiga-
**Electrical Engineer**, Purdue University. Qualifications include: bachelor's degree in engineering or engineering technology required. Indiana State registration as a Professional Engineer required. Must be able to analyze client needs, develop creative solutions to problems, and consult with other professional staff on multi-disciplined problems. Knowledge of building construction codes required. Knowledge of engineering system design, construction methods, and operations required. Knowledge of computer operations and applications desired. Major responsibilities include the following, under the general direction of senior engineer. Design engineering systems for university construction projects. Meet with clients to determine scope and feasibility of project and identify project needs. Prepare cost estimates for new construction, renovation, and repair, including utilities systems requiring engineering systems. Provide support to shops staff. Consult with project managers and other university staff. Review designs of outside A/E firms. Provide professional guidance and direction to physical facilities construction inspectors. Participate in the development and implementation of engineering policies and standards. Provide guidance and direction to project teams in coordinating the development of estimates, project budgets, working drawings, and specifications. Interested applicants should send a resume to Sue Gibson, Employment Representative, Personnel Services, 1126 Freehafer Hall, West Lafayette, IN 47907-1126.

Purdue University is an equal opportunity/affirmative action employer.

---

**Director**  
**Environmental Health and Safety**  
**University of Texas at Austin**

The director is responsible for the management of a multi-disciplinary comprehensive campus environmental health and safety program. The individual will develop, administer, and promote programs and procedures necessary to maintain a safe and healthy environment for faculty, staff, students, and visitors, and ensure that university operations are in compliance with applicable state and federal statutes, codes, and regulations.

The position requires a master's degree in natural sciences, engineering, industrial hygiene, public health, health physics, or other appropriate discipline/field, and five years of progressively responsible experience in the management of a comprehensive environmental health and safety department, or equivalent experience in an academic or research environment. May require occasional exposure to potentially hazardous environments or situations to assess life safety risks.

Preferred qualifications include a doctoral degree in natural sciences, engineering, industrial hygiene, public health, health physics, or other appropriate discipline/field; certification as Certified Safety Professional (CSP), Professional Engineer (PE), Certified Industrial Hygienist (CIH), and/or Certified Health Physicist (CHP). 10 years of progressively responsible experience in the management of a comprehensive environmental health and safety department or equivalent experience in an academic or research environment; demonstrated managerial skills in planning, organizing, evaluating, and delegating; and demonstrated effective communications and interpersonal skills in a university environment.

Starting salary for this position will be negotiated based on education and experience. An excellent benefits package is provided.

To apply, submit a resume with appropriate letter of application and a minimum of three references (preferably from persons associated with higher education). Resumes or nominations should be submitted by February 28, 1992 to:

Rebecca DeLosSantos  
Employment Center  
Office of Personnel Services and Employee Relations  
The University of Texas at Austin  
P.O. Box Drawer V  
Austin, TX 78713-7449

The University of Texas at Austin is an equal opportunity and affirmative action employer.

---

**Director**  
**Facilities Management and Planning**

The director reports to the vice president of fiscal affairs and is responsible for directing the buildings, grounds, utilities, and maintenance operations for a campus of 30 buildings located on 75 acres. The director also has responsibility for divisional budgets and long-range plans and coordination of major construction projects.

Candidates should have a bachelor's degree in engineering, business, or management with progressively increasing responsibilities, preferably in higher education, in facilities management. The candidate will possess excellent oral and written communication skills and a strong sense for providing quality service.

Please submit letter of interest and resume with salary history to: Robert E. Gallman, Vice President of Fiscal Affairs, University of Evansville, 1900 Lincoln Avenue, Evansville, Indiana 47722.

An equal opportunity/affirmative action employer.

---

**Superintendent of Buildings and Grounds**

**Greenwich Academy**  
**Greenwich, CT**

Greenwich Academy, an independent day school of 600 girls in PK-12, seeks a superintendent of buildings and grounds for a campus of 32 acres and eight buildings. The superintendent reports directly to the business manager, supervises a staff, oversees independent contractors, reviews compliance programs, maintains a schedule of preventive maintenance, and is responsible for the functioning of the heating, electrical, and plumbing systems.

This position is available as of February 1, 1992 and may be filled as late as July 1, 1992. The salary is competitive with excellent benefits including on-campus housing. Qualified candidates may send resume and cover letter to:

John Ryan  
Business Manager  
Greenwich Academy  
200 North Maple Avenue  
Greenwich, CT 06830
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, PHYSICAL PLANT/ENGINEERING

Under direction of the director of physical plant, manage personnel and operational activities of engineering and project management division (including asbestos management and energy management programs) of physical plant department. Bachelor's degree in engineering or architecture, with emphasis in structural, mechanical, or architectural engineering. Three to four years of experience or training that demonstrates competence in master site planning; new construction; renovation and remodeling; facilities systems planning; contract administration; energy analysis and conservation techniques; plant data base development and management; project supervision and management required. Must have Professional Engineers (P.E.) License and State of Vermont Asbestos Consultant and/or Abatement Supervisor License or be able to secure both licenses within a reasonable time after taking position. Forward detailed resume, salary history, and social security number to:

Employment Office
237 Waterman Building
University of Vermont
Burlington, Vermont 05405

Applications accepted until filled. Affirmative action/equal opportunity employer.

DIRECTOR OF FACILITIES
PORTLAND STATE UNIVERSITY

Portland State University invites applications and nominations for the position of director of facilities. The university is an urban campus located in downtown Portland, Oregon. The campus encompasses 32 acres with 28 major buildings totaling approximately 2.5 million square feet. The fall term 1991 student enrollment was 14,285 with an FTE of 9,701.

Required Qualifications: fifteen years or more of experience in engineering or architecture or related field. A minimum of six years of supervisory/administrative experience of which three years must be managerial experience in a physical plant setting of comparable or larger size.

Desirable Qualifications: a baccalaureate degree with emphasis in architecture or engineering. Knowledge of energy conservation programs; academic facilities planning requirements; space management, environmental management, and a familiarity with continuous quality management theories and techniques.

This position is currently open and will be filled on a 12-month, non-tenured basis. Salary is dependent upon qualifications and experience. Applications and nominations should be submitted no later than January 30, 1992, and include a resume and the names of at least three references. Please submit to:

Lindsay Ann Desrochers
Vice President for Finance and Administration
Portland State University
P.O. Box 751
Portland, OR 97207-0751

Portland State University is an EEO/AA employer. Minorities, women, and members of other protected groups are encouraged to apply.

For information about advertising in the Job Corner call:
703-684-1446
AROMATHERAPY
Georgia Southern University is considering the use of aromatherapy within the facility buildings on campus. Information is needed from institutions that have utilized this procedure, i.e., how it is applied, application costs, and the positive and negative aspects of its use. Please send your response to Hugh R. Hagan, Chief Engineer, Georgia Southern University, Landrum Box 8012, Statesboro, Georgia 30460, 912/681-5752; fax 912/681-0324.
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OTHER EVENTS
Feb. 11-13 - Thermographic Applications for Predictive Maintenance Phoenix, AZ. Contact: John Snell & Associates, 17 First Avenue, Montpelier, VT 05681; 802/229-0820.
Feb. 17-22 - IDHCA Fifth Annual College University Conference. Auburn, AL. Contact Tom Clapper at 216/672-4300.
Mar. 9-14 - Air Conditioning Controls Orlando, FL. Contact: Johnson Controls, Inc., Johnson Controls Institute/M-45, 507 East Michigan Street, P.O. Box 423, Milwaukee, WI 53201; 800/524-8540.
Mar. 19 - Basics of Roofing - Level I. Nashville, TN. Contact: Roof Consultants Institute (RCI), 7424 Chapel Hill Road, Raleigh, NC; 919/859-0742.
Mar. 21-27 - Advanced Air Conditioning Controls. Contact: Johnson Controls, Inc., Johnson Controls Institute/M-45, 507 East Michigan Street, P.O. Box 423, Milwaukee, WI 53201; 800/524-8540.
Mar. 31 - Apr. 2-Telecommunications Infrastructure Planning. Austin, TX. Contact: Nancy Mack, Conferences and Institutes, 138 Dana Hall, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-2712; 509/335-3530.
Apr. 13-17 - Air Conditioning Controls Orlando, FL. Contact: Johnson Controls, Inc., Johnson Controls Institute/M-45, 507 East Michigan Street, P.O. Box 423, Milwaukee, WI 53201; 800/524-8540.
Top flight technical sessions and a tour of Auburn University's system make this annual event an important conference for college and university professionals in district heating and cooling.

The following are some of the subjects to be covered:

- Energy Planning
- Thermal Storage
- Cogeneration
- Waste Heat Recovery
- And MORE...

Special rate for IDHCA members:
Before February 3: $125 IDHCA Members
After February 3: $150 IDHCA Members

$165 Personal/Non-Members
$200 Personal/Non-Members

SPECIAL BONUS:
The non-member registration rate includes a trial membership in IDHCA through the end of IDHCA's 1992 fiscal year, June 30, 1992.

For more information or to register contact:
IDHCA, 1101 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 700, Washington, DC 20036 (202) 429-5111

Wyoming, PA—Security improvements, urgently required to combat school crime & violence, are often scheduled only during breaks between terms . . . even if funds are currently available. So says an informal survey of administrators recently conducted by Exeter Architectural Products, manufacturer of innovative school security solutions.

Every administrator surveyed would like to implement necessary security solutions NOW . . . but may be constrained by traditional maintenance or fiscal schedules.

Sounds silly, doesn't it? Yet that's the story at countless institutions around the country. We're in the habit of implementing safety measures as we do routine scheduled maintenance. We've been conditioned to believe that any change during a term meets resistance. But some problems can't wait.

Exeter CRIME SHIELD® window barriers are designed for easy, fast, & quiet installation. All work is done from outside, with minimal disturbance. And flexible financing is available to ease budget impact.

With growing awareness of the need for security, students & staff are increasingly receptive & cooperative. Ask building occupants whether they'd enjoy leaving their windows open . . . without fear of criminal or vandal intrusion! Remember—the unique CRIME SHIELD barrier design means that mid-term, off-budget installation is no longer a problem.

The firm's philosophy is to work with school staff, as partners in safe security. Whenever administrators have a need, Exeter has the state-of-the-art solution.
SARGENT QUALITY...
DUGMORE & DUNCAN SERVICE

Sargent has earned the reputation for designing and producing the finest quality security products. At Dugmore & Duncan, we strive to maintain this reputation by providing outstanding service to the secondary Sargent market. This is demonstrated by our extensive inventory, our ability to deliver on schedule, and our commitment to know the Sargent line and provide our customers with answers. If you're looking for Sargent... look no further. Call us TOLL-FREE!

In the East: 1-800-225-1595,
FAX: 1-617-740-4043.
In the West: 1-800-325-1595,
FAX: 1-714-360-0572.
And, now in the South: 1-800-232-1595,
FAX: 1-813-666-5226.