Ownership and the Problem of Moral Hazard

By Joe Whitefield

Good leaders are continuously engaged in continuous improvement. The saying that “everything works, but nothing works for long,” has never been truer than it is now. That is because everything changes. Change seems to be one of the few constants for today’s organizational leaders. Even good ideas and programs can have a short life because something will change, increasing the costs or diminishing the benefits.

One great challenge for organizational leaders is establishing an environment where change is expected and cultivated in such a way that positive outcomes and actual improvements result. One attitude that can be critical to a pro-change environment is ownership. How often do we hear a call to take ownership of some aspect of our work or the situation? What does this really mean?

Ownership is centered in the concept of possessing something. Possession implies that, in addition to having rights to any benefits, the owner incurs the costs of possession as well as retaining several responsibilities that can result in negative consequences if not properly carried out. In order to maximize benefits and minimize negative consequences, owners often take their responsibilities seriously and make decisions that support their interests. And ownership decisions are often different from non-ownership decisions.

We are all familiar with the question, “Who washes a rental car before they turn it back in”? Although I have washed the cars that I own numerous times, I can honestly say I have never washed a rental car. Again, the responsibilities and consequences of owning something and simply using something are quite different.

This concept is so well engrained that we rarely have to explain it. We speak of taking ownership and most people know what we mean: Act like you own it so that you make better decisions, or take more pride in it and better results will follow. Adoption leads to improvement.

As it turns out, there is actually an economic term, and a snappy one at that, which explains many issues related to ownership. It is moral hazard. Moral hazard is a circumstance by which individuals make different decisions, typically involving more risk, when the cost or consequences of a bad decision falls to someone else. Think about the decisions people make when spending their own money versus spending someone else’s money. A mother recently told me how her son always orders a foot-long sub sandwich when she is paying but only a six-inch sandwich when he uses his own money. Apparently, understanding of moral hazard starts early.

Moral hazard is more prevalent in businesses and more destructive than many people realize. To unpack this with a facilities management focus, let’s first consider where it might exist. Most university and college campuses, including mine, have numerous processes that involve some form of other-party payers or cost subsidization. This column has
discussed before the basic landlord structure that exists whereby facilities management services are delivered to tenants who often do not bear the direct costs or the full costs of the services. To these customers, services are simply provided. They include basic services such as:

- Routine maintenance—if someone else will repair/replace it, what is the incentive to protect it?
- Cleaning services—if someone else will pick it up, what is the incentive to properly dispose of it?
- Energy/utilities—if someone else is paying for it, what is the incentive to conserve it?

These attitudes are not only negative, they often have significant costs to the organization.

FUTURE COSTS AND CONSEQUENCES

There is another significant area where moral hazard is often at work, namely in the design of new buildings. Even with recent advances in the concepts of life-cycle costing and total cost of ownership, many design processes still fall short of providing for cost-effective out-year operations and maintenance.

Inaccessible equipment, inadequate system isolation strategies, non-standard/costly cleaning requirements, technologies that cannot be reasonably supported, and inadequate/unsupportable energy management systems and strategies are just some of the costly byproducts of a process in which those designing the buildings are not the ones bearing the costs or consequences of operating or maintaining the buildings. Being out-of-sight and out-of-mind, future costs and consequences are easy trade-offs for present building elements and amenities. It requires a special commitment to the principle of ownership to truly account for life-cycle costs.

INSTILLING OWNERSHIP

Ownership is an incredible attitude to instill in employees and write into the processes of an organization. There are a lot of books and resources extolling the benefits of this attitude. At its core, it requires people to ask questions and make decisions as though the current and future costs and consequences would be theirs.

Make no mistake, however; it is never as easy as it might seem. Moral hazard is a formidable foe. As long as the consequences and costs are passed along to someone else, there is little incentive to change.
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Your Campus Architecture, Our Doors — *a perfect fit.*

Special-Lite® FRP Doors (sandstone or pebbled surface) are custom fabricated to meet your architectural requirements, plus are an excellent thermal barrier to save on your energy costs! Special-Lite Doors are cost effective, require less maintenance and stand up to your most challenging location for years to come.

*Custom design solutions — combined with state-of-the-art engineering.*

Special-Lite®

www.special-lite.com • 800.821.6531 • info@special-lite.com
You may never replace a water heater or storage tank again.

AquaPLEX is a remarkable new water heater and storage tank material created by alloying 300 and 400 series stainless steels and capturing the benefits of both. Fully passivated, AquaPLEX is naturally corrosion-proof in potable water - regardless of stored water temperature.

- Tank walls are solid AquaPLEX alloy. There is no lining, coating, cladding or plating of any kind. Nothing that can corrode, erode, dislodge, crack, delaminate or wear out over time and expose a plain steel tank to hot water
- No anodes of any type are required. Tank corrosion cannot occur so there is nothing for an anode rod to do
- AquaPLEX is immune to chloride-induced stress corrosion cracking (a known failure mode for 304L and 316L stainless steel in hot potable water)
- AquaPLEX is the ultimate solution to all water heating applications and, because continuous exposure to water temperature >200°F has no effect on the alloy, it’s the ultimate solution for higher-temperature solar storage tanks
- AquaPLEX is as much a process as it is a material. Unique tank weld designs and fabrication processes are employed to ensure maximum longevity of the vessels and heat exchangers. In addition, PVI employs full submersion pickle-passivation after tank fabrication is completed to give the alloy its optimal corrosion resistance
AquaPLEX® tanks are available on all PVI water heaters including...

**DURAWATT**® ELECTRIC WATER HEATERS
150 to 3000 GALLONS STORAGE
INPUTS from 9kW to 720 kW

**CONQUEST**® CONDENSING GAS WATER HEATERS
100 and 130 GALLONS STORAGE
199,000 to 800,000 Btu • Up to 96% EFFICIENCY
MODULATING BURNERS AVAILABLE

**COBREX**® STEAM WATER HEATERS
150 to 3000 GALLONS STORAGE
INPUTS up to 7,000,000 BTUH

**POWER VT**® PLUS AND TRICON
CONDENSING GAS WATER HEATERS
125, 250 AND 300 GALLONS STORAGE
399,000 to 2,000,000 Btu • Up to 96% EFFICIENCY
MODULATING BURNERS

**EZ PLATE**® and **QUICKDRAW**®
INDIRECT BOILER WATER
PLATE-TYPE or U-TUBE EXCHANGERS
INPUTS up to 6 MILLION BTUH
150 to 3000 GALLONS STORAGE

**HOT WATER STORAGE TANKS**
150 to 3000 GALLONS STORAGE

For additional heaters including instantaneous models, visit www.pvi.com