LEVERAGING FACILITIES FOR INSTITUTIONAL SUCCESS

PART 2
APPA is the association of choice serving educational facilities professionals and their institutions. APPA's mission is to support excellence with quality leadership and professional management through education, research, and recognition. APPA's Center for Facilities Research engages in a deliberate search for knowledge critical to policy making in education. CFaR encourages the study of the learning environment, appropriate management strategies, and their impact on education.

APPA
1643 Prince Street
Alexandria, Virginia  22314-2818
www.appa.org
www.appa.org/research/cfar/tls.cfm

With sponsorship assistance from:

DTZ  a UGL company

Jacobs

Copyright © 2014 by APPA. All rights reserved.

International Standard Book Number: 1-890956-85-6
Produced in the United States of America
Section IV: Facilities’ contributions to institutional goals

Using facilities to advance institutional priorities

Facilities are more than the stage on which higher education performs. The entire built environment plays a role—often a greater role than members of the academic community realize.

Both facilities themselves and the facilities operation can help institutions achieve their goals and reach optimal outcomes.

Student success: Facilities create environments that support learning and enable new teaching methods. Faculty and students pay little attention to classroom space—until it stops working. In fact, sophisticated facilities planning and design can help institutions improve student engagement by supporting evolving teaching methods, including flipped classrooms and problem-based learning. Rooms that allow for the instructor to move around the room easily and enable quick rearrangement of desks to form small groups encourage the teaching styles shown to be most successful for today’s students. Planners and architects are looking for cost-effective ways to transform old-fashioned, theater-style lecture halls into spaces that can adapt along with the pedagogy.

High rates of recruitment and retention: The campus plays a major role in creating positive impressions and building student engagement. When alumni tell stories of their college or university years, they often mention the places—the quad, the cafeteria, the dorm—that shaped their memories. The significance of these places begins the first time students visit an institution; in a survey by APPA of more than 16,000 students at 46 institutions, 50 percent of respondents agreed with the statement, “When I first saw the campus, I knew this was the right college for me.” Two-thirds of respondents claimed the overall quality of campus facilities and the attractiveness of the campus were either “very important” or “essential,” and nearly a third of respondents rejected a particular college or university because it lacked facilities they considered important. The campus—the actual, physical campus—is critical to the student experience, and successful institutions will find investment here pays off.

Data Point: Recruiting and the campus

Sending a message

“We must understand that campus landscapes are a medium of communication. The landscape is continually sending messages to students, faculty, and staff; is it saying what you want it to?”


Affordable tuition and fees: Efficient facilities operations can significantly reduce costs for the institution. Best practices in facilities management can increase the overall operating efficiency of the institution, especially when total cost of ownership is adopted as a policy. Total Cost of Ownership (TCO) considers not just up-front costs of buildings and systems but also long-term costs to operate, maintain, upgrade, and replace them. Typically, institutions track these expenses separately, dividing them between capital improvement, maintenance, and recapitalization funds, a practice that costs the institution more over time. TCO provides a data-driven approach that helps colleges and universities
## Data Point:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimal outcomes for higher education</th>
<th>Actual outcomes today</th>
<th>Barriers to success</th>
<th>Strategies for bridging the gap</th>
<th>Facilities’ contributions to success</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student success</td>
<td>Inconsistent educational outcomes</td>
<td>Inflexible and entrenched teaching methods</td>
<td>Increase emphasis on student success</td>
<td>Facilities create environments that support learning and enable new teaching methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High rates of recruiting and retention</td>
<td>Poor recruitment and retention</td>
<td>Ineffective retention strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable tuition and fees</td>
<td>Limited access and lack of affordability</td>
<td>The arms race</td>
<td>Improve affordability</td>
<td>Efficient facilities operations can significantly reduce costs for the institution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financially sustainable business plan</td>
<td>Unsustainable funding model</td>
<td>Declining resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible use of space and other resources</td>
<td>Poor use of space and other resources</td>
<td>Outdated space policies</td>
<td>Allocate resources based on institutional priorities</td>
<td>Effective space management makes the most of the institution’s single-greatest sunk cost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear mission and focus</td>
<td>Lack of focus and unclear mission</td>
<td>Unclear, unaligned mission</td>
<td>Focus on the mission of the institution</td>
<td>Strategic master planning enables the built environment to support the institution’s mission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmentally sustainable campus</td>
<td>Failure to prioritize environmental sustainability</td>
<td>Multiple challenges and issues distracting from sustainability efforts</td>
<td>Prioritize environmental sustainability</td>
<td>The campus is the single-greatest opportunity for improving institutional sustainability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
understand and manage their facilities investments while providing a model for sustainable business operations to the entire institution.

**Responsible use of space and other resources:** Effective space management makes the most of the institution’s single-greatest sunk cost. Colleges and universities are increasingly recognizing the value of their space—and how space has, until now, been squandered. Institutions that fail to responsibly manage their space spend more to operate and maintain their facilities and pour more into new construction. Best practices for space management include aligning space management to the mission of the institution; changing the culture of space so it is perceived as a valuable and shared resource; developing effective policies, processes, and organizational structures to manage space; and implementing a space inventory system to understand resources and identify needs.

**Data Point:**

**Smart space management**

**Aligning space metrics with capital improvement funding**

The University System of Georgia (USG) recently completed a major project to create a common data set of space metrics for use across the entire 31-campus system. The project was motivated by “the belief that improved efficiency in space use represents a significant strategic advantage to the system” and “because of dissatisfaction with traditional space use approaches which have had limited success in helpfully informing either master planning activity or capital allocations.”

Better data for capital improvement planning was a major priority of the project. The new system seeks to allow for better comparison of space utilization and productivity between USG institutions, identify deficiencies that could be corrected with reallocation or repurposing of space, determine which capital improvement projects are most necessary, and establish priorities among projects that receive funding.

Clear mission and focus: Strategic facilities planning enables the built environment to support the institution’s mission. Facilities need to be aligned with the mission of the college or university through a strategic facilities master plan. Plans include assessment of current facilities and their use along with an analysis of trends facing the campus. (For example, is enrollment expected to rise or decline? By how much?) Then planners engage with the college or university’s mission and translate general statements into concrete plans for buildings and grounds. For example, an institution focused on teaching and learning might invest in technology-equipped classrooms. A school capitalizing on its reputation as a tight-knit community and seeking to improve student engagement might build new residence halls. A campus seeking to improve recruiting could strive to improve the first impression the campus makes on visitors. Creative thinking can identify smart ways to fulfill the institution’s goal in brick and mortar.

Among the metrics developed were the classroom metric, which measures classroom size and utilization and identifies both empty seats in a classroom and times when the room itself is vacant. Two other critical metrics are the office metric, which compares employee counts to office station counts, and the social/study metric, which measures the contribution of “soft” spaces such as reading and study rooms, lounges, computer labs, and tutoring rooms.

USG believes the new approach will enable the institution to get more out of their space. The authors of the report describe the program as “the first step towards a better physical environment for learning and research in the state . . . [that] makes a vital contribution to the future of higher education in Georgia.”

## Data Point:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Current attitude/ approach</th>
<th>Problems with this attitude/approach</th>
<th>Transformation needed</th>
<th>Desired educational outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Facilities operating costs                                           | A never-ending burden on the institution, and a growing liability.                        | Goal becomes minimizing operating costs, not optimizing facilities operations                        | Investments in maintenance and operations are seen as vital to the mission           | • Affordable tuition and fees  
  • Financially sustainable business plan                                                      |
| Facility renewal                                                      | Continued deferral. a growing liability                                                   | Leads to an ever-increasing spiral of costs. Diminishes the value of facility investments          | Reduction in the renewal backlog. Eliminate redundant facilities and adopt of alternative funding mechanisms | • Student success.  
  • High rates of recruiting and retention  
  • Responsible use of space and other resources                                                                                                                                 |
| Life-cycle costs and total cost of ownership (TCO)                   | Costs of buildings and systems only considered up-front                                   | Facilities and systems are costly to operate and maintain                                          | Life-cycle costing and TCO implemented for every capital investment decision        | • Affordable tuition and fees  
  • Financially sustainable business plan  
  • Responsible use of space and other resources                                                                 |
| Space                                                                | Cost of space to the institution is unknown to users; space is controlled by departments and programs | Inefficient use of space—some space is wasted and underutilized while other space is over capacity | Space is managed as an institutional asset. Costs are communicated and sometimes shared. Value of investments in space are maximized | • Responsible use of space and other resources  
  • Affordable tuition and fees.  
  • Financially sustainable business plan                                                                 |
| Outsourcing                                                          | In-house staffing is preferred for almost all operations                                  | Rising labor costs; limited labor pool; aging workforce; distraction of attention from primary mission | Services and operations are outsourced when another organization may be able to do the job better for less | • Clear mission and focus  
  • Affordable tuition and fees.  
  • Financially sustainable business plan                                                                 |
| Technology                                                            | Focused on instruction and research; lack of investment in operational analytics           | Lack of automation of business processes, increasing administrative burden; lack of data for decision making | Data is tracked and analyzed by business analytics systems. Systems are integrated and widely accessible | • Affordable tuition and fees  
  • Financially sustainable business plan                                                                 |
| Financial management system                                          | Legacy systems are hard to access; primarily useful for audit record                      | Limited information available to administrators to manage budgets and control costs                 | An integrated financial system that allows for better forecasting and management    | • Affordable tuition and fees  
  • Financially sustainable business plan  
  • Responsible use of space and other resources                                                                 |

---
Environmentally sustainable campus: The campus provides the single-greatest opportunity for improving institutional sustainability. The college or university campus generates up to 90 percent of an institution’s carbon footprint. Institutions will only become truly sustainable when their built environments are sustainable. Colleges and universities have made enormous strides in sustainability. Leadership in Energy & Environmental Design (LEED) certification is now routine for new buildings, and Green Globes and other programs are being used as well. Higher education energy consumption dropped at an average rate of almost 14 percent between 2008 and 2012. However, challenges remain, especially for existing buildings, which cost far more to operate and maintain than newer buildings. Facilities departments with a strong commitment to sustainable design and operations must continue to explore creative ways to conserve energy, recycle materials, and cut carbon emissions.

Data Point: Facilities and institutional costs
The high price of old buildings

Older buildings may add charm to a college campus, but they also add costs. According to one, a facilities consulting firm, for buildings between 25 and 50 years old, work orders average $2.35 per square foot, nearly double the $1.40 per square foot for buildings under ten years old. (For buildings older than 50, the cost is $2.20 per square foot.) Maintenance backlogs are also higher for older buildings: $110 backlog per gross square foot for buildings between 25 and 50 years old and $160 for buildings older than 50. The backlog for buildings ten years old or less is $20.

Rutgers University plans to demolish numerous old and inefficient buildings and is targeting small structures, which are often disproportionately expensive to heat and cool. The university will remove about 120,000 square feet scattered among old houses, buildings, and trailers and replace them with a new, 175,000-square-foot building that will include new classrooms. The move will save the institution $1.6 million per year in maintenance, custodial services, and energy costs.

“The biggest cost savings at colleges and universities today is in reducing their footprint,” says Antonio Calcado, vice president of facilities and capital planning at Rutgers. “Especially at larger colleges and universities, the footprint has just gotten so large, and it costs so much per square foot to just maintain that space.”


Transforming the facilities organization to meet institutional goals

For the built environment to significantly advance the goals of colleges and universities, both the institution and the facilities organization will need to change their thinking. Facilities must be seen in a more strategic light.

Campus leaders must see that the facilities organization is engaged with the institution’s mission and goals. Senior facilities officers must be included in top-level discussions of trends, issues, and challenges facing the campus, and their ideas and suggestions must be welcomed. At the same time, the facilities organization needs to take its role seriously. It needs to broaden its vision and increase its visibility within the institution.

Transformation is necessary in the following operational areas to allow facilities to make the greatest possible contribution to the mission of its institution.
Section V: Facilities’ strategies for improving institutional outcomes

How the critical issues were identified
The premise of the Thought Leaders symposium is that facilities leaders have much to contribute to the major challenges facing higher education. Five top issues were identified by participants on leveraging campus facility assets to achieve institutional goals. Also identified were critical questions for institutional dialogue. The questions are the heart of the exercise: They are intended to guide facilities managers and university leaders in the discussions at their own institutions. A major goal of the Thought Leaders Series is to help individual colleges and universities assess where they stand and help them develop strategies for the future.

1. Understand how facilities affect student success and employ best practices for student recruitment and retention.

The issue: Facilities organizations can significantly contribute to student success through better use of buildings and grounds.

Strategies for success:
Facilities influence student success more than most administrators realize. Leading institutions recognize the value of the built environment in attracting, retaining, and teaching students; they invest in making their campus more student-friendly.

The first step is to identify the goals and shortcomings of the institution. Where are students best being served? Where are they faltering? Is recruitment down or up? What about retention? Is the college or university shifting its teaching methods? Are problem-based classrooms and team learning replacing traditional lectures?

Answering these questions can point facilities departments in the right direction to improve student success. For example, a community college might identify retention as a problem. Research shows that increasing student engagement on campus can help improve retention. Facilities can help increase engagement by expanding the number of casual spaces for student interaction, such as lobbies, lounges, and courtyards. The institution can create warm, welcoming places with good seating, lots of light, and Wi-Fi. (Don’t forget to add extra electrical outlets; students are often looking for workspaces where they can also charge laptops or phones.) Investing in casual spaces can be a critical step in increasing student interaction and engagement.

Facilities organizations should also identify aspects of the campus that detract from the mission and goals. Consider the message different facilities are sending. Is a crowded, run-down residence hall sending the signal, “We don’t care about your experience here?” Are classrooms with outdated instructional systems diluting the institution’s image as a leader in advanced technology?

Finally, organizations need to communicate the value of facilities to the rest of the institution. Many constituencies don’t appreciate how much facilities can accomplish. Consider conducting a quick survey with potential students after campus visits asking their impression of the buildings and grounds, or survey students in a variety of different classrooms about their experience. (Could you partner with a statistics, communications, or architecture class to develop and administer the survey, making it a learning experience?) Develop usage metrics that are tied to institutional goals and show how you’re targeting these priorities.
### Data Point:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Optimal outcomes for higher education</th>
<th>Actual outcomes today</th>
<th>Barriers to success</th>
<th>Strategies for bridging the gap</th>
<th>Facilities contributions to success</th>
<th>Facilities strategies for improving institutional outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student success</td>
<td>Inconsistent educational outcomes</td>
<td>Inflexible and entrenched teaching methods</td>
<td>Increase emphasis on student success</td>
<td>Facilities create environments that support learning and enable new teaching methods</td>
<td>Understand how facilities affect student success and employ best practices for student recruitment and retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High rates of recruiting and retention</td>
<td>Poor recruitment and retention</td>
<td>Ineffective retention strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affordable tuition and fees</td>
<td>Limited access and lack of affordability</td>
<td>The arms race</td>
<td>Improve affordability</td>
<td>Efficient facilities operations can significantly reduce costs for the institution</td>
<td>Use total cost of ownership as a guiding principle for all facilities decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financially sustainable business plan</td>
<td>Unsustainable funding model</td>
<td>Declining resources</td>
<td>Rising costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible use of space and other resources</td>
<td>Poor use of space and other resources</td>
<td>Outdated space policies</td>
<td>Allocate resources based on institutional priorities</td>
<td>Smart space management makes the most of the institution’s single-greatest sunk cost</td>
<td>Make better use of campus space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear mission and focus</td>
<td>Lack of focus and unclear mission</td>
<td>Unclear, unaligned mission</td>
<td>Focus on the mission of the institution</td>
<td>Strategic master planning enables the built environment to support the institution’s mission</td>
<td>Use the campus as a classroom to expand awareness of sustainability and facilities best practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmentally sustainable campus</td>
<td>Failure to prioritize environmental sustainability</td>
<td>Multiple challenges and issues distracting from sustainability efforts</td>
<td>Prioritize environmental sustainability</td>
<td>The campus provides the single-greatest opportunity for improving institutional sustainability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Questions for institutional dialogue:

- What elements of the built environment contribute to students selecting this institution? To continuing through graduation? To learning and succeeding?
- Does the facilities department have a mechanism (such as facilities master planning) for aligning institutional trends, mission, and goals with the built environment? Is this process effective?
- Which specific campus goals can be best supported by facilities? Where can facilities make the most cost-effective investments to further these goals?
- Which facilities or aspects of the facilities operation are detracting from institutional goals?
- What metrics can you put in place to better measure the role of facilities? How can you better communicate the value of the built environment? What sort of data would influence senior administrators to back investment in facilities strategies to advance the goals of the college or university?

2. Use total cost of ownership as a guiding principle for all facilities decisions.

The issue: Employing TCO enables facilities to make the smartest investments in buildings and systems.

Strategies for success:

Discussion about the costs of facilities is usually divided into the same two categories that show up on balance sheets: initial construction costs and maintenance and operations costs. What’s missing is an understanding that the two costs are related. In fact, facilities can cost twice as much to maintain and renew as they do to build. TCO takes this fundamental fact into account by calculating and communicating the lifetime costs of a facility.

TCO also promotes wise spending that will maximize the value of the investment. The cheapest air conditioning system may look good on the capital improvements budget, but if it costs twice as much to operate, it’s not a source of savings. The same goes for the low-cost industrial carpeting that has to be replaced three times more often than its slightly more expensive rival. TCO provides a mechanism for weighing up-front and long-term costs.

Implementing TCO requires commitment from senior administrators and even state support for public institutions. Budgeting policies and procedures must be adapted to allow TCO to work properly. Campuses need to examine what changes would be necessary at their institution to employ TCO. What stands in the way of implementing the process? What sort of support will be needed and from whom? How can the facilities organization achieve buy-in?

TCO has significant sustainability implications and can help institutions maximize their investments in green buildings and systems. Generally, sustainable

Data Point: Student success through classroom design

*Design considerations for effective learning spaces*

- Design learning spaces around people. Keep the focus on the interaction between students and teachers. Don’t let technology dictate classroom design.
- Support multiple types of learning activities. Design the classroom to support discussion, experiential learning, and project-based activities as well as traditional lectures.
- Make space flexible. Allow spaces to be quickly reconfigured, and design the space to be easily renovated as new technology and pedagogy changes.
- Design for comfort and functionality. Allow plenty of surface space for laptops and storage space for backpacks and bags. Use windows to bring in natural light, but make it easy to block the light for on-screen presentations.

What metrics can you put in place to better measure

Which facilities or aspects of the facilities operation

Which specific ca

Does the facilities department have a mechanism

What elements of the built environment contribute to

Design for comfort and functionality.

Make space flexible.

Student success through classroom

Data Point:

**Facilities and institutional costs**

*The high price of old buildings*

“TCO is the best tool for [colleges and universities] to use to reduce overall maintenance costs and capital costs. It will help them to make better decisions about overall asset management. In general, higher ed over-maintains buildings. You could have replaced them three times for what you were spending to maintain them.”


3. Make better use of campus space.

The issue: Colleges and universities can cut costs and improve efficiency by maximizing the use of their space.

**Strategies for success:**

Underutilized space is a wasted resource, and any classroom or lab that sits vacant for half a normal class day is wasted. Colleges and universities should be finding every opportunity to maximize the use of resources, and that means taking seriously the problem of space.

At the heart of the issue is the question, “Who controls space?” Traditionally, individual departments or programs controlled how space was allocated and assigned. They were under no obligation to share space with other units and fought hard to keep what was “theirs” even if they no longer needed it. Many decisions were made automatically, so departments assigned large offices to senior faculty members, even if those faculty had joint appointments and ended up with multiple offices. Space was free, as far as departments were concerned. Light, heat, and plumbing for these spaces didn’t come out of their budgets, so it didn’t matter if the room sat vacant year-round—it wasn’t costing them anything.

Colleges and universities are starting to renegotiate space with departments and programs. Recognizing that space is a shared resource, they seek to schedule classes...
and assign offices fairly across the entire institution. On some campuses, the institution, not the department, now controls space, and decisions are made by a central space planning office. Other colleges and universities keep some space, or some level of control, at the department level, but have policies that prevent the most wasteful space practices.

Scheduling, for example, presents many opportunities for improvement. Keeping all departments on the same block schedule and spreading courses throughout the day allows the institution to maximize its classroom usage. (While traditional students prefer classes in the middle of day, nontraditional students often welcome early morning, late afternoon, evening, or even weekend classes that give them more flexibility with work schedules, so intensive scheduling can pay off in terms of student satisfaction as well.) Campuses are also seeking to expand summer and mini-term courses that get students into the classrooms year-round. Air conditioning a classroom building so that a handful of faculty can use their offices is not a responsible use of the institution’s resources.

A critical step for most institutions is the development of a space inventory system that can be used in scheduling, asset assessment and management, and data tracking. Campuses need to understand exactly how much space they have, what condition it’s in, and how it’s being used. They also need to understand how much different spaces cost. A chemistry lab is more expensive to run than a history classroom. Some colleges and universities have begun tracking energy use down to the individual office and charging departments for the space they use. Even without going this far, departments need to understand that space costs the university money—it’s not a free resource—and that it should be managed responsibly.

Questions for institutional dialogue:

- How does space allocation align with the mission of the institution?
- How is instructional space currently allocated? How efficiently is this space used? What policy changes would be necessary to increase space utilization? Who should be in charge of assigning class spaces and times? What should guide their decision making?
- How is space measured today? For what purpose? Is the space inventory up-to-date and reliable? How could the system be improved to provide for better tracking, projections, and planning?
- How do we track the cost of space? Do departments know how much their space costs? What technical improvements would be necessary to generate this

Data Point:

Space utilization

Making the most of classroom space

“To make more efficient, cost-effective use of space, institutions are developing strategies to spread out the times that classes are offered during day and evening hours, and to increase the teaching week by scheduling more Friday classes. Conflict can occur, though, between administration and faculty, which traditionally decides both when they want to teach and in what room. Questions regarding governance are being raised with most schools determining that class schedules are not related to academic freedom and should fall within the domain of department chairs and the administration.

“Schools are using a variety of tactics to encourage departments to offer classes during a wider time frame before resorting to taking over class scheduling. Scheduling has implications beyond facilities usage; there are academic ramifications, too. Students are often unable to get the courses they need to graduate because too many of them are offered at the same times. Other strategies to reduce pressure on facilities during peak times include offering more classes online and/or hybrids.”

data? How could it be better communicated? Would the institution support a system that charges departments for their space?

- How can we incentivize better use of space?

4. Expand data collection and analysis to cut costs and increase efficiency.

The issue: By increasing the amount of data they collect and providing new tools to analyze that data, institutions can strengthen their decision-making processes.

Strategies for success:

Business analytics has enormous potential for institutions seeking to make their operational decisions more data-driven. Higher education has lagged behind other industries in adopting business intelligence systems, but well-designed analytics systems have the potential to help institutions measure progress on strategic and tactical goals, support decision making, provide rapid feedback on ongoing efforts, and validate or discredit assumptions.

Colleges and universities can target the following goals with business intelligence systems:

- **Clarify costs and their drivers.** Integrated systems can make clear how colleges and universities are spending their money and identify areas for improvement.

- **Provide insight.** Analytics systems can help institutions meet their mission and address such goals as increasing retention and improving learning outcomes.

- **Share knowledge.** Data should be widely available across organizational units, along with tools to understand that data.

According to a recent report by EDUCAUSE and NACUBO, institutions should keep the following in mind when implementing business intelligence:

- **Get all senior leaders onboard.** Without the support of the president, senior leadership team, and governing board, business intelligence efforts will struggle to get off the ground.

- **Capture incremental improvements while pursuing transformative opportunities.** Even if the goal is institution-wide change, it makes sense to start small and celebrate successes along the way to build support and gain experience.

- **Be realistic.** Understand what business intelligence and other systems are actually capable of accomplishing—as well as what is required for success. These systems require significant commitments of time and staff before they save the institution a single dime. Benefits often take the form of cost avoidance rather than direct financial savings; they’re more likely to lead to rebalancing of institutional resources rather than a pool of capital. Finally, change is hard, and increasing access to data doesn’t affect or address entrenched attitudes or beliefs.

- **Address processes before systems.** Business intelligence systems can’t magically solve any problem they encounter. Institutions need to refine their processes first, then find the systems that will support them.

   Facilities are among the operational units seeing a real benefit from business intelligence systems for tracking materials, maintenance costs, energy use, and other metrics. New systems provide facilities staff with interactive dashboards that present critical information in charts, meters, and graphs and allow users to drill down to analyze data. When combined with modern building information modeling and smart buildings, staff have powerful tools to manage facilities operations.

Questions for institutional dialogue:

- What operational data is the institution already collecting? Who is responsible for this data? Where is it stored?

- Which processes and operations would benefit most from greater data accessibility and analysis? What sort of questions do you need answered?

- What is standing in the way of the adoption of business analytics systems? Cost? The accessibility and quality of data? The culture of the institution? How can these barriers be addressed and overcome?

- What data is currently available to the facilities organization? Is this data accessible and understandable? Is data from multiple sources integrated to provide a big picture?

- What would the advantages and costs be of investing in a data analytics system for the facilities organization?
5. Use the campus as a classroom to expand awareness of sustainability and facilities best practices.

The issue: Facilities organizations can develop innovative ways to use the built environment as a teaching tool and directly involve students with sustainability and efficiency efforts.

Strategies for success:
Facilities staff typically have only limited interaction with students, and most students have no idea what goes into keeping the campus running. Yet facilities play an important role in the educational experience, and a peek behind the curtain at facilities operations can give students greater insight into issues of sustainability and energy use and raise awareness of facilities throughout the institution.

Treating the campus as a classroom means revealing what is usually hidden. Systems such as photovoltaic panels, green roofs, and constructed wetlands benefit from this approach; out in the open, they are a constant reminder to the campus of the sustainability priorities of the institution. Schools have also revealed mechanical systems normally invisible behind walls or exposed water pipes running through buildings to highlight high-efficiency air conditioning or gray water treatment systems. Creative, well-designed signs should accompany these visible green systems to explain their purpose and results. In fact, signage can play a role during construction as well; construction fences can be covered with signs explaining the elements of the new design and how they will benefit the campus.

Smart building systems can also be exhibited to students, faculty, and visitors on kiosks in building lobbies. Real-time displays of energy and water use, for example, serve as constant reminders that how people use buildings has an effect.

Other institutions have found success bringing students into facilities or sustainability offices as interns. Students benefit from real-world experience in the trenches of a campus and are exposed to a variety of potential careers. Facilities organizations benefit by promoting their field as a career choice and gain insight into student perspectives. At West Virginia University, for example, interns in the Office of Sustainability work on the office website, organize campus events, and participate in studies such as waste audits and public transportation use. Many of the interns plan a career in sustainability.

Expanding the campus as a classroom is an effort that can start small—adding an educational component to the design of a LEED-certified building, for example, or hiring a single intern. To broaden the project, facilities organizations need to form partnerships with faculty. Seek out faculty interested in real-world ways to teach sustainability concepts and work together to develop courses or programs that combine the physical reality of the campus with the academic rigor appropriate to a college or university.

Data Point: The campus as classroom

The building as a living laboratory

The term “living lab” is thrown around a lot in sustainability, but few buildings take the idea more seriously than the Centre for Interactive Research on Sustainability (CIRS) at the University of British Columbia (UBC). The building is not only a LEED Platinum structure, it is designed to be “net positive” by returning surplus energy to the grid and removing emissions from the atmosphere.

The entire structure operates as a lab where researchers can study users’ interactions with the facility to improve performance and maximize the health, happiness, and productivity of inhabitants. Researchers housed in the building include faculty from applied science, psychology, geography, forestry, and business, as well as the UBC Sustainability Initiative. Ongoing research at CIRS includes studies of the thermal and acoustical properties of windows, thermal-slab monitoring, life-cycle costing, and the psychology of recycling. Already, more than a dozen academic papers have been published on work at the building, which opened in 2011.
Questions for institutional dialogue:

- How can the institution make the infrastructure—especially the green infrastructure—of the campus more visible to students, faculty, and staff?
- Where could well-designed signs explain operations going on behind the scenes?
- Does the campus have building information data that could be displayed to students in certain buildings? How can you use this data to influence the use of the building and promote awareness?
- Do the facilities or sustainability operation currently hire student interns? What would it take to make this happen? (Is funding available? What's the process for advertising and hiring interns? Who would supervise the interns?) What jobs could interns do that would both help the department and give students real-world experience?
- How can facilities partner with faculty? Can you identify faculty members who would be interested in teaming up on educational projects and academic courses?

---

Facilities organizations can develop innovative ways to use the built environment as a teaching tool and directly involve students with sustainability and efficiency efforts. The campus as a classroom means revealing what is usually hidden. Systems and direct involvement with students, and most students have no idea what goes on behind the scenes at facilities operations can give students greater insight into issues of sustainability and energy use. The issue: Facilities organizations can develop innovative ways to use the built environment as a teaching tool and directly involve students with sustainability and efficiency efforts. The term “living lab” is thrown around a lot in sustainability. The building as a living laboratory, the Centre for Interactive Research on Sustainability (CIRS) at the University of British Columbia (UBC) is an effort to bring sustainability to the forefront in a classroom setting. The building opened in 2011, and more than a dozen academic papers have been published on work at the building. Researchers housed in the building include faculty from applied science, psychology, geography, forestry, and business, as well as the UBC Sustainability Office, who work on sustainability initiatives. Ongoing research at CIRS includes studies of the thermal and acoustical properties of buildings. The entire structure operates as a lab where researchers can study user interactions with the facility to improve performance and maximize the potential of the building. It is designed to be “net positive” by returning surplus energy to the grid and removing pollution, efficiency efforts.

Other institutions have found success bringing students into facilities or sustainability offices as interns. The term “living lab” refers to any educational initiative that brings the classroom to life outside the confines of a traditional classroom. These visible green systems to explain their purpose and function. Advertising and hiring interns? Who would supervise the interns?) What jobs could interns do that would both help the department and give students real-world experience? How can facilities partner with faculty? Can you identify faculty members who would be interested in teaming up on educational projects and academic courses?

Strategies for success:

1. Use the campus as a classroom to team up on educational projects and academic courses.
2. Partner with faculty to identify faculty members who would be interested in teaming up on educational projects and academic courses.
3. Develop innovative ways to use the built environment as a teaching tool and directly involve students with sustainability and efficiency efforts.
4. Increase student awareness of sustainability priorities through visible green systems and educational initiatives.
5. Use signage and other tools to promote awareness and real-world experience.

Students benefit from real-world experience in the classroom, and the psychology of recycling. Already, more than a dozen academic papers have been published on work at the building, which opened in 2011. Researchers housed in the building include faculty from applied science, psychology, geography, forestry, and business, as well as the UBC Sustainability Office, who work on sustainability initiatives. Ongoing research at CIRS includes studies of the thermal and acoustical properties of buildings. The entire structure operates as a lab where researchers can study user interactions with the facility to improve performance and maximize the potential of the building.
CONCLUSION: Embracing and advancing the need for change

It is critically important to recognize the remarkable reforms and innovative efforts that are occurring right now at numerous colleges and universities. Furthermore, we would be remiss if we did not emphasize the diversity of institutions, the variability of their challenges, and the range of examples of creative responses to address these problems for which one size does not and will not ever fit all. Understanding institutional context and culture is a precursor to deriving very different solutions with very different performance levels to achieve successful student outcomes.

Nonetheless, we must embrace the need for change and the challenge of change today. To quote David Ward, emeritus chancellor of the University of Wisconsin Madison, “The real problem of change is the inability to scale change from segmented and disparate efforts to institutional strategies. Secondly, to assume that all changes will mesh with and serve all kinds of institutions is illogical.” This does not negate at all the need to face these challenges, but instead to recognize the varying starting points or conditions that we have to change.

We are indeed experiencing a new normal, an environment of rapid change where it’s all about less. Yet, as resources have dwindled, expectations have grown. And, although the gap between the optimum and achieved institutional outcomes continues to beleaguer many senior administrators, change is possible and innovation is indeed occurring at a number of our colleges and universities. In fact, some institutions do have effective space management programs, focused mission statements, aligned institutional priorities, data-driven decision-making procedures, and sustainable budget models. The question is why the rest of us don’t, and what we can do about it.

Therefore, much of this monograph has focused on strategies to help those institutions bridge the gap between the optimal goals and their current reality to continue tackling the most persistent higher education challenges:

- Inconsistent educational outcomes,
- Poor recruitment and retention efforts,
- Limited access and lack of affordability,
- Unsustainable, cumbersome funding models,
- Entrenched teaching methods,
- Ineffective space management policies,
- Unclear institutional mission,
- Aversion to risk, and
- Lack of environmental sustainability priorities.

By leveraging facility assets and operations to maximum potential, the facilities department and staff can assist their colleges and universities in achieving desired goals and help bridge that gap. Indeed, this places even more pressure on facilities organizations to maximize their contribution to the core goals of the institution to achieve optimal outcomes. This will require a deliberate, albeit strategic focus on these approaches:

- Contributing to student success,
- Using total cost of ownership principles,
- Maximizing space management,
- Expanding data analytics systems, and
- Involving the campus community in sustainability and energy efficiency.

In this way, colleges and universities will be better able to leverage their facilities investment for the maximum return to the institution and focus on their mission of educating students and advancing knowledge.